

COSMIC TOP SECRET

THE FINAL COUNTDOWN

DIGITAL EDITION



THOMAS ANDERSON

BOOK 8

NOTE: This digital version of the original book contains a unique pixel-matrix code within certain images to identify the purchaser, in the event of unauthorised filesharing.

"Every man is independent of all laws except those prescribed by nature. He is not bound by any institutions formed by his fellow men without his consent."

Cruden v Neale

"The root principle of the English law about jurisdiction is that the judges stand in the place of the Sovereign in whose name they administer justice, and that therefore whoever is served with the King's writ, and can be compelled consequently to submit to the decree made, is a person over whom the Courts have jurisdiction"

Mobil Oil Australia Pty Ltd v Victoria [2002] HCA 27

"When justice is gone, there's always force.."

Laurie Anderson (Oh Superman)

"The Laws of Nature are absolute and unchangeable. Everything else is foreign. They are merely the policies of private corporations and their controllers that I do not consent to."

Thomas Anderson (The Classified Files)

INDEX

5. INTRODUCTION

10. THE COMING GLOBAL DEPRESSION

13. COLONIAL SLAVE OR FREEMAN

15. THE CROWN CORPORATION

26. OBEDIENT SERVANTS

29. WHAT STATE ARE YOU IN?

32. MICRO NATIONS

33. CORONATION CONTROVERSY

37. LETTER TO THE GOVERNOR GENERAL

38. LETTER FROM WESTMINSTER ABBEY

42. REGISTRATION INVESTIGATION

43. KINGS BENCH

45. ORDER OF THE GARTER

47. KNIGHTS TEMPLAR AND THE BANKING SYSTEM

50. SECURITISATION 101 - STEP BY STEP GUIDE

62. BANK CLASS ACTIONS AND THE IMF

65. IS PPSR THE NEW UCC?

66. ABS AND CENSUS AUTHORITY

72. BIRTH CERTIFICATES VS MEDICARE

75. OSWALD REVISITED

79. CLOUDBUSTING

90. ZION AND THE OLYMPIC DEVIL MASCOT

92. COSMIC TOP SECRET


99. 2012 - THE FINAL COUNTDOWN

100. THE MYSTERY OF PUMA PUNKU

107: THE END


108. MAIL CERTIFICATION LETTER

109. BUMPER STICKERS AND T-SHIRTS



Does this look familiar to you? If so, then it's time we all did something about this situation, however small the contribution is to the bigger picture of dissolving this reality and consciously creating an abundant world without wars, violence, oppression and control by the few over the many. This series of books came about because I could no longer sit back and say nothing. As a result, I had to take action, and this information has now reached thousands of people worldwide. Now it's your turn. Please let your friends and family know what's really going on, because the more people that realise, the less control they have. Stop supporting this capitalist system.

INTRODUCTION



So here we are finally in 2012. It feels to me exactly like the image above, as though we are all standing on top of this structure wondering what to do, and what comes next.

Of course there are many theories, however before we start, let me first ask you if you know how and why you came to be reading these words?

There must have been a logical progression of events or triggers in your life that have brought you to this point in time. These are not random things, they have a deeper connectivity that runs parallel to our everyday superficial existence.

Here I am typing these words into my computer, yet at the same relative time, you are reading them. As I keep typing, you can see that we are simultaneously experiencing them, although apparently separated by the notion of time.

So I guess I had better keep typing so that you can continue reading, otherwise we might have a time-space continuum dilemma on our hands similar to The Philadelphia Experiment.

Now, I really must apologise profusely for the delay in completing and releasing this final book in the series. Many of you requested it as far back as April 2011. There is of course a good reason for this, however as you now know, there really is no such thing as time, only your perception of it.

This past year has been chaotic to say the least, and we have seen some very interesting and important dates, events and catastrophes occur, perhaps more than I have experienced during my entire life on this planet.

There was the Japanese earthquake, tsunami and nuclear meltdowns, the 11:11:11 convergence, the October 28 Mayan End date (predicted by Ian Xel Lungold), the Euro crisis, US Debt Ceiling, the 10th Anniversary of 9/11, Comet Elenin passing, and so many more important things going on that I have lost track of them all.

In fact most people I talk to agree that 2011 flashed by in the wink of an eye, and I admit I feel as though I got very little done. That of course is perception, but we have all been weighed down by the incredible build-up of energetic tension and unsettled feelings, which have been fuelled by uprisings of all kinds around the world. Greece has been leading the way with it's riots and protests which is sending a clear message to the powers that be, that people power is a formidable force.

It seems that consciousness has finally reached a point of critical mass and everyone is talking about revolution and overthrowing corrupt governments. It has become obvious that we do not need wars to have freedom, but the war machine which is run by so few, and has it's own agenda's, has to be dismantled first.

Have you also felt overwhelmed by all of this?

For a very long time I considered the right moment to release this book, and I have waited until now simply because I believe 2012 is going to be one hell of a year in many ways.

This year of course is the Solar Maximum, which alone could bring about many problems such as communications shutdown or other disasters.

The most important thing though, is that 2012 is the widely predicted end time of the Mayan Calendar, which I have dedicated a chapter to in this book. It's possible we could see a collapse of the Euro, triggering a global recession or depression, plus we have the Queen's Diamond Jubillee, The 2012 Zion Olympics, and I feel more earthquakes, uprisings and massive shifting of energy will occur.


The stage is also being set right now for armed conflict in Iran, and the US has already sent (among others) a 50 year old warship, the USS ENTERPRISE (no, not the Star Trek one) which was the world's first nuclear-powered aircraft carrier. It's history dates back to the Cuban missile crisis in 1962 and the Vietnam War.



It appears another false flag event such as the Mossad controlled Trade Centre demolition is about to be played out before our eyes. It remains to be seen whether this ship will be conveniently destroyed, and used as the catalyst for the US to declare war on Iran. Who do you think would benefit from that operation? Israel and Saudi Arabia. Those countries have deep connections and control over the US, and I'm sure they would love to see the US attacking their enemies. All they need is the justification to declare war and to sway public opinion through controlled media propaganda.

Remember that most wars are about control of resources such as Oil, and the Strait of Hormuz is where one-fifth of the world's oil shipments pass through. For all these reasons, 2012 is looking to be one of the most important years in recent history, so buckle up, as it could be a bumpy ride. But let's rewind a moment and I'll fill you in a bit more about how you and I came to be sharing this experience and knowledge. After all, this is not my real job, I was just compelled to share this info at this time.

Back in 1995 I used to attend a small group of awareness seekers. We often had guest speakers, or just sat around and watched the latest videos available on alternative topics (such as Linda Thompson's America Under Siege) and discussed many and varied things, everything from HAARP to Fluoride, and from Hartwig's Chinese Pyramids to Aliens and UFO's. Everything was open for discussion. I remember 2012 seemed such a long way away back then...home computers and the Internet were still in their early stages and Lady Di was still alive. In 1994 I had bought myself a Home Computer, which was a DX266 with 4mb of RAM (which I upgraded to 8mb for an extra \$400). It was running DOS and Windows 3.11, and had a 256mb Hard Drive. I bought a 14,400 modem (which was the top dialup speed back then) for about \$250 from Harvey Norman and entered a whole new world.



By 1996 I had studied so many books on Internet security and protocols, and downloaded and played around with lots of software and warez including the infamous war diallers (which are named after the movie War Games). A wardialler is essentially a custom made computer program, which keeps dialling numbers in a specified area code to check for carrier signals.

In the old days of dial up modems, you had to connect to a computer directly via a phone line, as there were no wireless networks. Even mobiles (cell phones) were relatively new, and of course, still on the analogue network. I recall the dealer telling me at the time they were about to bring in the digital network, that "you'll probably have a headache for a week or two until you get used to it", and I thought to myself...this is obviously no good for anyone. I had already been plastering anti-EMF posters all over the place since 1996 saying "stop cooking your brain", but this made me even more committed to not using them.

To this day, since the digital phones were introduced in 2000, I still get a headache after about 30 seconds of use, and my head hurts for some time afterwards. That's why I limit my calls to one minute, and only use the damn thing if I really have to.

It was also in 1995 that I was heavily into HAARP research, and during one of those experiments with war diallers, I happened upon a certain military computer system related to the project and decided to make a contribution to their files. I was able to log in without any password, and create a new directory on their system, where I uploaded all my research on the subject.

You can hardly call it hacking. More like automated stupidity really when I think about it. It was an open modem line that was easily accessible at the time with no firewall and no security.

So yes, times have changed and that mystical far away date of 2012 has somehow snuck up on us and we are now about to see what the fuss is all about. Perhaps we will finally find out exactly why John F. Kennedy had the number 26000 painted on the tail of Air Force One, and if the legends of floods and global cataclysms are based on factual, cyclical, and predictable earth changes or not.

I'd like to take this opportunity to thank all of you who have supported my research and read all of my previous books. We have sent literally thousands of copies worldwide to almost every country over the past three years, and they have inspired many groups, movements, researchers and individuals to take control of their lives and do something.

In this final book I wanted to explore some of the areas I haven't touched on before, and give you as much information as I can that I think is the most relevant for what you need to know now. Many of you still have Bank issues and are struggling with court cases, but I feel all of this will come to a head as the Banking System falls apart, and it's very roots are shaken by the exposure of it's fraudulent ponzi schemes.

To assist those people, I have included a step-by-step guide on how to do your own Securitisation investigations, and where to look for those elusive Trust documents. I am honoured that some of my illustrations and phraseology have been featured in videos and lectures by John Harris and was very exited to learn that my research helped inspire the very clever "Meet your Strawman" animation which you can find on Youtube. There is also a direct link to it on our official website.



Recently I sent Jordon Maxwell a complete set, and he very kindly recommended them to one of his friends, which was very encouraging. For that and all that has transpired, I am eternally grateful. After all, the messages in this book are not mine to claim ownership of.

They are everywhere right now in the subconscious and conscious minds of men and women around the world who want to see an end to control and oppression, and live in peace and abundance. I wish you well, and hope that you will do whatever it is you feel you need to do this year to ensure your family's safety and survival no matter what happens.

Best

Thomas Anderson

THE COMING GLOBAL DEPRESSION



You might be wondering what happened to the big financial collapse that we have all been expecting and many like Gerard Celente, predicting for the past three years?

I have been advising people since 2009 to close their accounts, get all their money out of the banks and buy gold and silver. We bought gold at just over \$1000 an ounce and Silver at \$630 a kilo (\$16 an ounce). Many people laughed when I said Gold would hit \$2000+, but recently we saw it peak over \$1900.

That threat has not gone away, rather is has only been delayed somewhat by two massive attempts at propping up the market to try and prevent the inevitable collapse.

QE1 and QE2 refer to Quantative Easing. A fancy term for printing trillions in fiat money backed by nothing, having no effect other than inflating the already enormous balloon market that is soon likely to pop. Again, nothing happens, except by design.

Looking back at what occurred in the lead up to the Stock Market Crash of 1929, margin requirements were only 10%. Brokerage firms, in other words, would lend \$9 for every \$1 an investor had deposited. When the market fell, brokers called in these loans, which could not be paid back.

Banks began to fail as debtors defaulted and depositors attempted to withdraw their deposits en masse, triggering multiple bank runs. Government guarantees and Federal Reserve banking regulations to prevent such panics turned out to be ineffective or not used. Bank failures led to the loss of billions of dollars in assets.



In 1933 the United States declared bankruptcy, as expressed in Roosevelt's Executive Orders 6073, 6102, 6111, and 6260. (NB: Corporations can continue operating even though they are bankrupt, as long as enough interest payments are made to satisfy the creditors.) If the creditors deem it to be in their best interests, they allow operations to continue during the bankruptcy reorganisation.

Under the Federal Reserve System, the Compound Interest Rate meant that government debt would grow exponentially. The amount of dollars in circulation was greater than the amount of physical gold in the US treasury, which guarantees an eventual default. Countries all around the world are now on the precipice of falling headfirst into this vast debt cavern that is opening up under their feet. When that happens, the whole world will change forever.

Executive Order Of April 5, 1933

UNDER EXECUTIVE ORDER OF PRESIDENT Issued April 5, 1933

All persons are required to deliver
ON OR BEFORE MAY 1, 1933 all GOLD COIN, GOLD BULLION, AND GOLD CERTIFICATES
now owned by them to a Federal Reserve Bank, branch or agency, or to any member bank of
the Federal Reserve System.

EXECUTIVE ORDER

FORBIDDING THE HOARDING OF GOLD COIN, GOLD BULLION, AND GOLD CERTIFICATES

By virtue of the authority vested in me by Section 5(b) of the Act of October 6, 1917 as amended by Section 2 of the Act of March 9, 1933, entitled "An Act to Provide Relief in the Existing Emergency in Banking, and for other purposes" in which Amendatory Act Congress declared that a serious emergency crises, I, Franklin D. Roosevelt, President of the United States of America, do declare that said national emergency still continues to exist, and pursuant to said Section do hereby prohibit the hoarding of gold coin, gold bullion, and gold certificates within the continental United States by individuals, partnerships, associations and corporations, and hereby prescribe the following regulations for carrying out the purposes of this Order.

Section 1. For the purposes of this regulation the term "hoarding" means the withdrawal and withholding of gold coin, gold bullion or gold certificates from the recognized and customary channels of trade. The term "person" means any individual, partnership, association or corporation.

Section 2. All persons are hereby required to deliver on or before May 1, 1933, to a Federal Reserve Bank or branch or agency thereof or to any member bank of the Federal Reserve System all gold coins, gold bullion or gold certificates now owned by them or coming into their ownership on or before April 23, 1933, except the following:

- (a) Such amount of gold as may be required for legitimate and customary use in industry, professions, or art within a reasonable time, excluding gold prior to refining and stocks of gold in reasonable amounts for the usual true requirements of owners mining and refining such gold.
- (b) Gold coins and gold certificates in an amount not exceeding in the aggregate \$100 belonging to any one person; and gold coin having a recognized special value to collectors or rare and unusual coins.
- (c) Gold coin and bullion earmarked or held in trust for a recognized foreign government (or foreign central bank or the Bank for International Settlements).
- (d) Gold coin and bullion licensed for other proper transactions (not involving hoarding) including gold coin and bullion imported for re-export or held pending action on application for export licenses.

Back then, people didn't immediately demand to exchange their dollars for gold, simply because they didn't understand that they had been cheated. It wasn't obvious until the banking crisis in 1933. Could this be a possible scenario again when the financial system collapses?


You would have to be insane to hand over your gold or silver to the International Bankers if it did. Let their system collapse, as it deserves to. We can create a new system based on a fair day's wage for a fair day's work, without taxation or rates or oppressive man-made policies. At the moment we have seen a five percent drop in the property market in Australia over 2011, but I feel that needs to drop at least another forty five percent to get back to a more realistic and affordable level. I think the housing sector is in for a big shock soon, but let's take a look at how we got here first.

COLONIAL SLAVE OR FREEMAN

	Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act.
1	
I	
	ANACT
	TO
	Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia.
	Tak 1. 1 min
	No. 61. Anno 63 et 64 Victoria.
	No bl anno 63 of ble Victoria
	v. e. comme os jer en encuena.

The word Colony comes from the Latin word colonia, which in turn is derived from the word colonus. Now, we all know that Australia was originally a Penal colony, but I was interested in finding out the meaning and origin of the term.


A colonus was apparently a type of Roman peasant farmer, and this term was carried into the Medieval period through much of Europe. Coloni worked on large Roman estates called "latifundia" and were never allowed to leave them. The coloni raised sheep and other types of cattle as well as farming the land. They paid rent to the owner of the latifundium, which usually consisted of a portion of their harvest, labor, or money. This tied the peasants to the land, and reduced them to a serf-likes status.



Although technically still free, Coloni could be hunted or flogged if they left the latifundium, therefore they were still obviously in a servant-master relationship. Increasing numbers of people were forced to become coloni due to the decreasing number of slaves to support the economy because of Rome's apparent failure to win battles.

All those original terms are still in use today, such as rent, tenant, penalty, colonial, etc and we use them without any real knowledge of their meaning. This is one of the problems with the legal language and government policy we have today, in that the words they use have a specific meaning within the system, yet we are led to believe they are not worth paying much attention to. It is a common case of blind acceptance, which is a bit like not reading the fine print on a contract. Do so at your own risk.

THE CROWN CORPORATION



"Ratings agency Fitch Rating has upgraded the state of New South Wales' longterm foreign currency rating to AAA. The move by Fitch lifts NSW's credit rating from AA+ to AAA and comes after state was placed on the rating watch positive.

The upgrade also sees NSW's outlook lifted to stable, affirms all of the state's other ratings, Fitch said in a statement. Fitch said the upgrade of NSW's long-term foreign currency rating reflected Australia's rating upgrade."

At the same time, the ratings also reflect NSW's stable and diversified economy, adequate operating margins in relation to its responsibilities, strong liquidity as well as the support provided by the Commonwealth funding system," the agency said in a statement.

The Crown is treated as a legal person, capable of owning property and subject to other rights and liabilities. This term is not taken to refer to the monarch personally, but rather to refer to individuals and institutions exercising the executive functions of government.

In its broadest sense it can encompass the whole system of government, that is, the executive, legislative and judicial arms. However, when referred to in legislation, 'the Crown' is usually understood only to refer to the executive arm of government.

Australia is also a Federal Monarchy. The Queen or her representative forms part of each Australian Parliament, the Queen or her representative assents to legislation, law courts administer justice in the name of the Queen and the Queen or her representative is the formal head of both.

The Australian Constitution does not include a Bill of Rights. The Statute is of historical importance because it legally made the dominions independent countries, either immediately or upon ratification.

The residual constitutional powers retained by the Westminster parliament have now largely been superseded by subsequent legislation.



Queen Elizabeth II signs the Proclamation of the Australia Act on 2 March 1986 at Government House, Canberra, with David Reid, Secretary to the Executive Council (left) and Prime Minister Bob Hawke.

The Crown is a corporation sole that, in the Commonwealth realms and any provincial or state sub-divisions thereof, represents the legal embodiment of governance, whether executive, legislative or judicial. The Crown in each of the Commonwealth realms is a similar but separate legal concept and entity. You and I are considered the collateral or "stock" that the corporation owns and controls.

(replacing the Base Prospectus dated 5th July, 2006)



New South Wales Treasury Corporation

A\$15,000,000,000

Global Exchangeable Bond Programme

for the issue of guaranteed global exchangeable bonds exchangeable into New South Wales Treasury Corporation Inscribed Stock

payment of principal and interest guaranteed by

The Crown in Right of New South Wales

Arranged by

UBS Investment Bank

Panel Members

ABN AMRO ABN AMRO Incorporated

Australia and New Zealand Banking Citigroup

Group Limited

Commonwealth Bank of Australia Deutsche Bank

Macquarie Bank Limited National Australia Bank Limited

RBC Capital Markets TD Securities

UBS Investment Bank Westpac Banking Corporation



The Australian Government, on it's own website, describes the legal status of the country as follows:

"Australia became an independent nation on 1 January 1901. The British Parliament passed legislation allowing the six Australian colonies to govern in their own right as part of the Commonwealth of Australia. The Commonwealth of Australia was established as a constitutional monarchy. 'Constitutional' because the Commonwealth of Australia was established with a written constitution, and 'monarchy' because Australia's head of state was Queen Victoria."

"The Australian Constitution is the most important document in Australian government history. It established the Commonwealth Government (now known as the Australian Government), defined its structure, powers and procedures, and defined the rights and obligations of the states in relation to the Commonwealth."

"The Constitution was brought into existence through a British Act of Parliament, the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act. This Act granted permission to the six Australian colonies, which were still then subject to British law, to form their own Commonwealth government in accordance with the Constitution. The text of the Constitution (which was clause 9 of the Act) was written by representatives of the six colonies during a series of conventions in the 1890s, and accepted by a referendum in each colony."

"Under the Constitution, the reigning British monarch is also the Australian monarch, and therefore Australia's head of state. The Constitution grants the monarch - currently Queen Elizabeth II - certain governing powers that place them above all other levels of the government. Because of the large distance between Australia and Britain, the monarch is permitted to appoint a Governor-General who can exercise the monarch's powers in their absence."

was never sun or wifeted by any European before without on by thousand to be by the govern points Notwithstand That in the name of His majorty take pefetion of sweral places upon this coast Irow once more in haither English Coulers and for the hame of His megoffy Thing Seonge the thord took polysion of the whole Eastern Coast from the above Lity had down to this place by the head of New South, together with all the Buys Harbours Rivers and Hands vituate upon the semeser. after which se fired throbolly of vnaledoms Thich were answered by the like number by the thing this dane De set out for the Ships but sometime in gothing on board on account of a very rapped lbb Fide Which set out of the Papage away the soon dence we came in among the Shouls this last time, De have found a moderate Tido the Flood exeting the NW and blb & the &, at this place it is High-water at the Fiell and Change of the moon about 102 à Clack and refeth and falls upon apropondueles about 10 or 12 futo Herew on all the adjacent Lands sigh that they are Inhabited and it have dayly lately been upon

A page from the journal of Captain James Cook in which he states: "In the Name of His Majesty King George the Third, I now took possession of the whole Eastern Coast, by the name of New South Wales". Cook wrongly declared the land to be unoccupied even though he had seen several people.

Sir William Blackstone once wrote that "the rights to territory of hunter-gatherers or herders lasted only while they were physically present on the land". The first person to use the land "acquired therein a kind of transient property, that lasted so long as he was using it, and no longer or, to speak with greater precision, the right of possession continued the same time only that the act of possession lasted."

The ground was common, and no part of it was the permanent property of any man in particular; yet whosoever was in the occupation of any determined spot of it, for rest, for shade, acquired for the time a sort of ownership, from which it would have been unjust and contrary to the law of nature to have driven him by force. The moment that he ceased the use or occupation of it however, another might seize it without injustice.

In an 1845 decision of the Supreme Court of New South Wales, Chief Justice Stephen was in no doubt that the Crown owned all the lands in the colony, under the feudal system of tenure. While the doctrine was accepted to be something of a legal fiction in England itself, it was different in a settled colony such as New South Wales because as stated by the Attorney-General (NSW) v Brown (1847) 1 Legge 312), "There is no other proprietor of such lands."

Australian law was founded on the economically convenient concept of "Terra Nullius", that is, on the fiction that Australia was unoccupied at the time of colonisation. This obviously false legal basis and the injustice that stemmed from it, has been challenged from the very earliest days of colonisation by the Aboriginals. Remember I discussed in my earlier books that the prefix "Ab" means "not". So whoever created that term, obviously wanted to portray the indigenous people as "not original". Very clever.

On the 3rd of June, 1992, after a decade of litigation, the High Court ruled that the land title of the Indigenous Peoples, the Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders, is recognised at common law. This Indigenous Peoples' land title, or native title, stems from the continuation within common law of their rights over land which pre-dates European colonisation of Australia.

In the absence of an effective extinguishment by the crown, this title presents through inheritance the original occupants right to possession of their traditional lands in accordance with their customs and lores. The judgement rejected the "Terra Nullius" legal fiction.

Aboriginal ownership of land has also been recognised by International Law, to which Britain is a party from before its colonisation of Australia. In spite of the British recognition of Aboriginal land ownership, the colonists in Australia managed to officially disregard such recognition.

The following sections are taken from Joosse & ANOR v Australian Securities and Investment Commission M35/1998 (15 December 1998) and shows the way the courts apply whatever meaning they feel is necessary to protect the system. Keep in mind the statement made on the previous page. Remember, these are man-made laws.

"It is necessary to note the distinction between sovereignty in international law and sovereignty in the sense described by Hart as "the supreme legislative authority recognised in this system" (Hart, The Concept of Law, (1961) at 218.) For present purposes, what is critical is: what is the extent of the supreme legislative authority recognised in this system and what are the rules for recognising what are its valid laws? (Hart, The Concept of Law, (1961) at 97-120)

When one examines the history of Australia since 1788 it is possible to identify the emergence of what is now a sovereign and independent nation. Opinions will differ about when sovereignty or independence was attained. (China Ocean Shipping Co v South Australia (1979) 145 CLR 172 at 181 per Barwick CJ, 194 per Gibbs J, 208-214 per Stephen J, 240 per Aickin J; Nolan v Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs (1988) 165 CLR 178 at 184 per Mason CJ, Wilson, Brennan, Deane, Dawson and Toohey JJ, 192-192 per Gaudron J.)

Some steps along that way are of particular importance, not least the people of the colonies agreeing "to unite in one indissoluble Federal Commonwealth under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland and under the Constitution". (Constitution - Preamble) But when it is said that Australia is now a "sovereign and independent nation" the statement is in part a statement about politics and in part about what Stephen J in China Ocean Shipping Co v South Australia (1979) 145 CLR 172 at 209 called "the realities of the relationship this century between the United Kingdom and Australia".

What those realities were in 1900 can be gauged from the fact that the delegates negotiating with the Imperial authorities in 1900 about the terms in which the Imperial Parliament was to enact the Constitution were well content to seek to persuade the Colonial Office that "the Commonwealth appears to the Delegates to be clearly a 'Colony'" (Quick and Garran, Annotated Constitution of the Australian Commonwealth, (1901) at 352.) As the century moved on, further attention was given to the place of Imperial legislation in the self-governing dominions.

The Imperial Parliament enacted the Statute of Westminster in 1931 but it was not until 1942 that the Commonwealth Parliament enacted legislation adopting the Statute of Westminster (Statute of Westminster Adoption Act (Cth)). And then in 1986 the Australia Acts were passed. All these Acts deal with the place of Imperial legislation in Australia. Each can be seen as reflecting the then current view of the relationship between Australia and the United Kingdom. In large part, then, each deals with an aspect of political sovereignty.

Similarly, the way in which Australia has engaged in international dealings can be seen to have changed since federation. And it may be that the Treaty of Versailles or some other international instrument can be seen as according Australia a place in international dealings which it may not have had before the instrument was signed. But what is significant for the disposition of the present applications is not whether the Westminster Parliament could now, or at some earlier time might have been expected to, pass legislation having effect in Australia. Neither is it whether Australia is treated by the international community as having a particular status. The immediate question is what law is to be applied in the courts of Australia. The former questions about the likelihood of Imperial legislation and of international status can be seen as reflecting on whether Australia is an independent and sovereign nation. But they do so in two ways: whether some other polity can or would seek to legislate for this country and whether Australia is treated internationally as having the attributes of sovereignty.

Those are not questions that intrude upon the immediate issue of the administration of justice according to law in the courts of Australia. In particular, they do not intrude upon the question of what law is to be applied by the courts. That question is resolved by covering cl 5 of the Constitution. It provides:

"This Act, and all laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution, shall be binding on the courts, judges, and people of every State and of every part of the Commonwealth, notwithstanding anything in the laws of any State".

It is, then, to the Constitution and to laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution that the courts must look. And necessarily, of course, that will include laws made by the States whose Constitutions are continued, the powers of whose parliaments are continued, and the existing laws of which were continued (subject, in each case, of course, to the Constitution) by ss 106, 107 and 108 of the Constitution. It is not relevant to the enquiry required by covering cl 5 to enquire how Australia has been treated by other nations in its dealings with them or to enquire whether the

But, again, it turns on what is meant by "sovereignty" in this context and there is a deal of writing. One begins from Hart, one goes through Wade's absolutely seminal piece in 1955 Cambridge Law Journal, Winterton has written on it, and what emerges with stark clarity is that the word "sovereignty" is bandied about by many lawyers without understanding the context in which it is being used.

Sovereignty in international law is one thing. But the question we are concerned with, at least at the moment, it seems to me, is not a question of Australia's international status; it is concerned with what laws bind within Australia. The attack that is made, as I understand it, in each of these applications is an attack on the validity of certain laws. The question is: do those laws bind?

Now, you can analyse that in terms of sovereignty if you like, but sovereignty is a very slippery concept.

Westminster Parliament could or could not pass legislation that has effect in Australia. Covering cl 5 provides that the Constitution and the laws made by the Parliament of the Commonwealth under the Constitution are binding on the courts, judges, and people of every State and of every part of the Commonwealth.

None of the points that the applicants seek to make touches the validity of any of the laws that are in question or would make those laws any the less binding on the courts, judges, and people. As I have noted earlier, the second of the three themes identified by the applicants relies on the Royal Style and Titles Act.

As I understand it, the principal burden of the argument is that an Act of Parliament, changing the style or title by which the Queen is to be known in Australia, worked a fundamental constitutional change.

The fact is, it did not. So far as Commonwealth legislation is concerned, it is ss 58, 59 and 60 of the Constitution that deal with the ways in which the Royal Assent may be given to bills passed by the other elements of the Federal Parliament. So far as now relevant, ss 58 governs.

It provides that the Governor-General "shall declare, according to his discretion, but subject to this Constitution that he assents in the Queen's name". And there is no material that would suggest that has not been done in the case of each Commonwealth Act that now is challenged. The third element in the submissions made by the applicants, and the one to which greatest significance was given in oral argument, asserts that significance is to be attached to certain of Australia's international dealings.

These contentions fail to take account of certain basic principles. First, provisions of an international treaty to which Australia is a party do not form part of domestic law unless incorporated by statute. (Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs v Teoh (1995) 183 CLR 273; Victoria v The Commonwealth (Industrial Relations Act Case) (1996) 187 CLR 416 at 480-481 per Brennan CJ, Toohey, Gaudron, McHugh and Gummow JJ.)

It follows that what one of the applicants referred to as various human rights instruments do not of themselves give rights to or impose obligations on persons in Australia. Similarly, the Charter of the United Nations does not have the force of law in Australia. (Bradley v The Commonwealth (1973) 128 CLR 557 at 582 per Barwick CJ and Gibbs J.)

Next, in so far as this limb of the argument sought to make some point about "sovereignty" it is again necessary to note the distinction between sovereignty in international law and sovereignty in the sense described by Hart as "the supreme legislative authority recognised in this system" (Hart, The Concept of Law, (1961) at 218.)

The points which the applicants seek to make are points touching the first of these matters, not the second. It is the second that is the critical question in the courts and it is the second that is resolved by having regard to covering cl 5.

Lastly, it is necessary to deal with the contentions about the Commonwealth Electoral Act. These contentions depend entirely upon acceptance of one or other of what I have earlier called the three main themes of argument. Because I consider that they are not arguable, no separate question arises about the Commonwealth Electoral Act.

Nevertheless, it may be noted that it was established very early in the life of the federation that if there are any defects in the election of a member of a house of the Parliament the proceedings of that house are not invalidated by the presence of a member without title. (Vardon v O'Loughlin (1907) 5 CLR 201 at 208 per Griffith CJ, Barton and Higgins JJ.)

Moreover, there are at least some circumstances in which invalidating defects in the Commonwealth Electoral Act will not invalidate the elections held under it. (Attorney-General (Cth); Ex rel McKinlay v The Commonwealth (1975) 135 CLR 1 at 53 per Gibbs J. (See also the statement as to the effect of the order in these matters recorded at (1975) 7 ALR 593 at 651.)

For these reasons, the points which it is sought to agitate in this Court have insufficient merit to warrant the orders that are sought. Each application is dismissed.



Although Federation is often regarded as the moment of "independence" of Australia from Britain, legally the Commonwealth was a creation of the British Imperial Parliament through the *Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act* 1900, which applied to Australia by paramount force.

As a result, since Australia was still legally a colony, there was continued uncertainty as to the applicability of British Imperial laws to the Commonwealth. This was resolved by the *Statute of Westminster 1931*, adopted by the Commonwealth via the *Statute of Westminster Adoption Act 1942*. The Statute of Westminster freed the Dominions including the Commonwealth, from Imperial restrictions.

Australia adopted the statute in 1942; to clarify government war powers, the adoption was backdated to 3 September 1939, the start of World War II.

However, under the Colonial Laws Validity Act 1865, the United Kingdom still had the residual power to make laws for individual Australian states until the Australia Act 1986 came into effect.

Legally, this is regarded as the moment of Australia's national independence. Of course, there is still much debate and side stepping of certain facts by the Government to try and cling to a continuance of powers, however one thing is certain...we still have a De-Facto Prime Minister, as that position as well as Local Councils are not mentioned anywhere in the Constitution.

The Statute of Westminster gave legal form to the new status of the overseas dominions. It removed any remaining restrictions on legislative autonomy in those realms, except regarding legislation about succession to the throne.

SECTIONS FROM THE STATUTE OF WESTMINSTER ACT

- 1. In this Act the expression "Dominion" means any of the following Dominions, that is to say, the Dominion of Canada, the Commonwealth of Australia, the Dominion of New Zealand, the Union of South Africa, the Irish Free State and Newfoundland.
- 8. Nothing in this Act shall be deemed to confer any power to repeal or alter the Constitution or the Constitution Act of the Commonwealth of Australia or the Constitution Act of the Dominion of New Zealand otherwise than in accordance with the law existing before the commencement of this Act.
- 11. Notwithstanding anything in the Interpretation Act, 1889, the expression "Colony" shall not, in any Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom passed after the commencement of this Act, include a Dominion or any Province or State forming part of a Dominion.

The Act of Supremacy of 1559 re-established the Church of England's independence from Rome, with Parliament conferring on Elizabeth the title Supreme Governor of the Church of England. At the State level there is a variety of practices. NB: Licences are generally used to authorise the private use of government owned copyright.

In contrast, an Imperial Crown is a crown used for the coronation of Emperors. The only supreme head on earth of the Church of England is called "Anglicana Ecclesia" and shall have and enjoy annexed and united to the imperial crown of this realm.

OBEDIENT SERVANTS



Have you been a humble and obedient servant to this woman?

In Australia, Executive power is vested in The Queen and is exercisable by the Governor-General as her representative. In order to distinguish between the various governments in our Federal system, executive power is often referred to as being exercised by the "Crown in right of the Commonwealth" or a particular State or Territory. A government-owned corporation is therefore a legal entity created by a government to undertake commercial activities on behalf of an owner government.

It is a strange and complex set of circumstances that Australia finds itself in, supposedly being an independent sovereign nation, yet as the same time, having a foreign Queen as it's head. It appears to exist under two conflicting legal concepts, and adopts whichever it feels correct at the time to justify its continued existence.

In 1986, the Australia Act removed the residual powers of the British government to intervene in the government of Australia or the individual states. Then in 1999, a referendum was held to decide whether Australia would remain a constitutional monarchy or become a republic headed by a president. (All corporations need a President and a Constitution). However the referendum failed, and today, under the Australian Constitution, legislative power is vested in a Federal Parliament consisting of The Queen, a Senate and a House of Representatives.

OATH OF SUPREMACY

The first Act of Supremacy was a piece of legislation that granted King Henry VIII of England Royal Supremacy, which means that he was declared the supreme head of the Church of England. It is still the legal authority of the Sovereign of the United Kingdom.

Royal Supremacy is specifically used to describe the legal sovereignty of the civil laws over the laws of the Church in England. The Oath of Supremacy imposed by the Act of Supremacy 1558, provided for any person taking public or church office in England to swear allegiance to the monarch as Supreme Governor of the Church of England. Failure to so swear was a crime, although it did not become treason until 1562, when the Supremacy of the Crown Act 1562 made a second offence of refusing to take the oath treason.

The Oath was later extended to include Members of Parliament and people studying at universities. All but one of the bishops lost their posts, a hundred fellows of Oxford colleges were deprived; many dignitaries resigned rather than take the oath.

Text of the Oath as published in 1559:

I, A. B., do utterly testify and declare in my conscience that the Queen's Highness is the only supreme governor of this realm, and of all other her Highness's dominions and countries, as well in all spiritual or ecclesiastical things or causes, as temporal, and that no foreign prince, person, prelate, state or potentate hath or ought to have any jurisdiction, power, superiority, pre-eminence or authority ecclesiastical or spiritual within this realm; and therefore I do utterly renounce and forsake all foreign jurisdictions, powers, superiorities and authorities, and do promise that from henceforth I shall bear faith and true allegiance to the Queen's Highness, her heirs and lawful successors, and to my power shall assist and defend all jurisdictions, pre-eminences, privileges and authorities granted or belonging to the Queen's Highness, her heirs or successors, or united or annexed to the imperial crown of this realm. So help me God, and by the contents of this Book.

IMPERIUM

Imperium on the other hand is a Latin word, which, in a broad sense translates roughly as "power to command". In ancient Rome, different kinds of power or authority were distinguished by different terms. Imperium, referred to the sovereignty of the state over the individual.

"A person born in Australia, and by reason of that fact a British subject owing allegiance to the Empire, becomes by reason of the same fact a member of the Australian community under obligation to obey its laws, and correlatively entitled to all the rights and benefits which membership of the community involves, amongst which is a right to depart from and re-enter Australia as he pleases without let or hindrance unless some law of the Australian community has in that respect decreed the contrary."

J O'Connor's comments from Potter VS Minahan (1908) 7 CLR 277

Wherever representative government is established in a British colony the King legislates with the advice of the representatives of the people. His laws bind his subjects within the colony because they are his subjects, they bind himself as King under the Constitution of the colony so far as he chooses to make himself subject to them, and they bind strangers with respect to their rights within the colony because such persons must to that extent be deemed to have submitted themselves to his jurisdiction. It is recognized that it would be intolerable that a stranger should be at liberty to claim the hospitality and protection of a community without subjecting himself to such general regulations as may be necessary for the peace, order, and good government of the community. For the purposes of the present case it is unnecessary to consider what portion of the municipal law is binding on an alien, or how far the Crown, operating under the Constitution of one State, can be amenable to the laws of another State. I shall assume that the operations now conducted by the Crown in Western Australia would be subject to the laws of South Australia with respect to industrial undertakings if such operations extended into that State, because in such circumstances the Crown could not take with it its character of maker and administrator of the law, and must be deemed to have submitted itself to the laws of South Australia, as if it were a private person. Why should not these operations be subject to the laws of the Commonwealth within the Commonwealth territory? As we have

(1) 4 H.L.C., 815.

(2) 4 H.L.C., at p. 926.

LOCKEAN PROVISO

The Lockean Proviso is a feature of John Locke's labor theory of property which says that whilst individuals have a right to homestead private property from nature, they can do so only "at least where there is enough, and as good, left in common for others".

He states that people need to be able to protect the resources they are using to live on, including their property, and that this is a natural right. I find the following statement also very significant:

"Though the earth and all inferior creatures be common to all men, every man has a property in his own "person." This nobody has any right to but himself. The "labour" of his body and the "work" of his hands, we may say, are properly his."

WHAT STATE ARE YOU IN?

The word *state* is ultimately derived from the Latin *status*, meaning "condition." It is an organized political community, living under a government. Justice Harper of the Supreme Court of Victoria in 2000 held that the Supreme Court was the "State" for the purposes of section 176 of the Copyright Act "as one of the three arms of government of the State of Victoria". I have often said to people when asked this question over the phone, or when filling out government forms, that it depends which state they are referring to. The STATE OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA for example is merely a legal fiction.

THE SUBURBS

So now that you know what "state" your strawman has been designated to exist in, let's look at the next common term we use everyday. The word Suburb is derived from the Old French *subburbe*, which in turn, is derived from the Latin *suburbium*, formed from the words *sub* (meaning "under") and *urbs* ("city"). In Ancient Rome, wealthy and important people lived in the hills of the city, whilst the poorer citizens lived at lower levels, or "under the city".

TENANTS

We just signed a new lease on a property recently, and I always wondered what the word "tenant" really meant and where it was derived from. I knew that it had to date back to the time of overlords, as a Tenant always rents from a Land-Lord.

I discovered that under the feudal system, land was not privately owned, but rather held by a tenant (from the Latin teneo meaning "to hold") as a fee, being merely a legal right over land which is now referred to in modern law as an estate in land. The land was held from a superior overlord, or from the Crown itself, in which case the holder was termed a tenant-in-chief, The thing held is called a tenement, the holder is called a tenant, the manner of his holding is called a tenure, and the superior is called the landlord, or the lord of the fee. To go one step further, if a state means status or condition, then an e-state could easily be taken these days as meaning an electronic state, or electronic (digital) title. Interestingly, another meaning of estate is the net worth of a PERSON at any point in time. It is the sum of a person's assets, it's legal rights, interests and entitlements to property of any kind - less all liabilities. It is the status of your Strawman.

ADVERSE POSSESSION

Adverse possession is a process by which premises can change ownership. It is a common law concept concerning the title to real property (land and the fixed structures built upon it). By adverse possession, title to another's real property can be acquired without compensation, by holding the property in a manner that conflicts with the true owners rights for a specified period.

I believe that within all of these terms and definitions, lies the answer to what a lot of people have been dealing with in their struggle with mortgages and repossessions. The primary reason that the Bank has to ask permission from the court to evict you, and then use a sheriff to remove you, is because it is only an artificial legal entity, and therefore cannot physically occupy the land itself. It needs to break your continuity of actual possession (higher status) by force, to be able to claim legal title (lesser status).

REAL ESTATE VS REAL PROPERTY

This validates what I have pointed out before, that there are no Real Property Agents in Australia, only Real "Estate" Agents, being an Agent of Estates, or rather Titles. What they are selling is not the land itself, but merely a paper title to it. So why would you waste thirty years of your life as a slave to pay back a Bank that created the money on it's account out of thin air, to pay for something that you will never truly own?

The answer is of course, that the only other option is to rent. I have often said that what each one of us needs, and should be entitled to is "a place to be". If any of you have every been homeless or perhaps travelled and run out of money, it soon becomes a serious problem not having a place to be.

In 2005 I was stranded in Wellington, New Zealand for ten days without any money, due to a transfer problem from my account in Australia to a Bank over there.

I didn't know anyone, and had nowhere to stay, so I had to improvise. During the day I would walk the city in search of inspiration and food, often finding untouched leftovers at McDonalds if I waited for people to finish their meals then sit down in their seats.

At the local YMCA I could spend all day watching TV and relaxing in comfortable chairs out of the sun for free, once I had made friends with one of the guests who I helped with a legal problem. Apparently he had been arrested for being drunk and falling asleep in public, so I wrote him a letter which he took to the registrar and they dropped the charge. After that, everyone at the centre wanted to learn about the Strawman and common law.

What I have discovered is that our natural right to have a place to homestead, or simply to exist somewhere in physical space, has been taken away. We should each have our own land to grow vegetables and raise chickens etc. Instead we are at the mercy of the Government, and increasingly dependant upon it for our survival in smaller and smaller blocks of land with no way to independently support ourselves.

KNIGHTS FEES

The sovereign monarch, known as The Crown is said to hold land in its own right. All private owners are either its tenants or sub-tenants. The term tenure as previously discussed was used to signify the relationship between a tenant and lord, not the relationship between tenant and land.

In historical times, the Conqueror divided the lay lands of England among his magnates in the form of "honours" or great blocks of land. These were subdivided by the magnates into smaller manors and yet smaller divisions or fiefs (fee) just large enough to support one knight.

These were called the knight's fees. The knight paid homage to his overlord by taking a vow of loyalty and accepting the obligation to perform military service. The Book of Fees is the title of a modern transcript and rearrangement of the mediaeval *Liber Feodorum* (Book of Fees), which is a listing of feudal landholdings or "fiefs" compiled around 1302 for the use of the English Exchequer.

LIBER FEODORUM.

THE BOOK OF FEES

COMMONLY CALLED

TESTA DE NEVILL.

REFORMED

FROM THE EARLIEST MSS.

BY

THE DEPUTY KEEPER OF THE RECORDS.

PART I.

A.D. 1198-1242.

Today we are still in a similar situation, where we are either forced to pay rent to a landowner or land-lord (Lord of the Land), or we choose to become a slave to the Bank for thirty years or more to pay off a mortgage (dead pledge) to own a "title".

It is almost impossible to exist without continuing to pay a fee in the form of rent, rates, mortgage or duty to supposedly, a higher authority. I don't know about you, but I have rented now for over twenty years, and I have nothing tangible to show for it. Now you can see exactly where you stand in terms of how you define yourself, or rather, the definitions that you have been given and accepted without question.

MICRO NATIONS



Of course, one way out of this situation, is to secede from the government and create your own sovereign nation.

There are many people who have taken the step, and one of the most well known in Australia is the Hutt River Province. However I will give you a list of some of the other lesser known principalities so that you can do your own research as to whether this is something for you or not.

Snake Hill - www.snakehillprincipality.info

Snake Hill is located 45km from the town of Mudgee, northwest of Sydney. It is run by Prince Paul and Princess Helena, who seceded after experiencing problems with the Australian legal system over a mortgage and property fraud they were the victims of.

Empire of Atlantium - www.atlantium.org

The empire is located in a 61 square metre apartment in Kings Cross, Sydney and was formed by George Cruickshank in 1981.

Principality of Sealand - www.sealandgovt.org

Sealand is located on an old sea fort in the southern part of the North Sea, 10 km off the coats of England. It has an incredible history beginning with a pirate radio station and has seen several armed attempts to seize control of it. It's present leader is Price Michael.

Principality of Vikesland - www.vikeslandic.com

Vikesland occupes two areas of land in the province of Manitoba, Canada. The Royal Ranch is on the edge of Riding Mountain National Park. It is run by His Royal Majesty Prince Christopher.

CORONATION CONTROVERSY



"He who is crowned upon the Liath Fàil is destined be the true King of Milesian people and rule all the lands."

The Coronation Chair was made on the orders of King Edward I in 1300 to enclose the Stone of Scone (also called the Stone of Destiny), which he had brought from Scotland in 1296 and placed in the care of the Abbot of Westminster. Until the removal of the Stone to Scotland in 1996 the Chair was the oldest piece of furniture in England still used for the purpose for which it was made.

Edward I commissioned Master Walter, a court painter, to decorate the chair with patterns of birds, foliage and animals on a gilt background. The figure of a king, with his feet resting on a lion, was painted on the back. Only traces of this original paintwork survive and the chair has been badly damaged by graffiti, especially on the back, much of it the result of visitors and Westminster schoolboys carving their names in the l8th and l9th centuries. The Stone was originally totally enclosed under the seat but over the centuries the carved wooden panel at the front was torn away. In the early sixteenth century four gilt lions were added at the base of the chair, however the present lions are replacements dating from 1727.

During coronations the chair is placed in the sacrarium where it stands facing the high altar. Since the coronation of Edward II in 1308 almost every monarch has been crowned in this chair. The exceptions are Edward V and Edward VIII, who were not crowned, and Mary II who was crowned as joint monarch alongside her husband William III in a replica chair (now in the Abbey's museum) made for the occasion. The chair was taken out of the Abbey so that Oliver Cromwell could sit in it at his installation as Lord Protector in Westminster Hall, and it was used by Queen Victoria at her Jubilee service in the Abbey in 1887.

During the Second World War the chair was taken to Gloucester Cathedral for safety and the Stone of Scone was secretly buried in the Abbey.

The chair was kept in the Chapel of St Edward the Confessor for many centuries until that chapel was closed to general visitors in 1997. Since February 1998 it has stood on a modern pedestal in the ambulatory, near the tomb of Henry V.

History has traditionally identified the Stone of Scone with the stone on which Jacob rested his head at Bethel (Genesis, chapter 28, verse 18). In the Old Testament, Jacob, who later changed his name to Israel thus becoming the first Israelite, laid his head upon a stone pillow at Bethel, fell asleep, and had a dream of Jacob's Ladder, upon which he saw angels ascending and descending.

While sleeping on it, he apparently received a vision from God, who claimed that his seed would spread across the Earth and rule as kings until their return to the Promised Land. The stone was transported through Egypt, Sicily and Spain, and then taken to Ireland, where Saint Patrick himself blessed the rock for use in crowning the kings of Ireland. (Remember it was also Saint Patrick who became a Saint for outlawing the ritualistic burnings of human sacrifices at stone circles during these ceremonies.)

It was placed on the sacred Hill of Tara and called "Liath Fail", the fatal stone, or the stone of destiny. When the Irish kings were seated on it at coronations it was said to make a loud sound if the claimant was the rightful heir to the throne, but would remain silent if he was not.

The Liath Fàil was lent to the Scots by the Milesians (Irish) for the coronation of their new King. The Scots however neglected to return it and it was deposited at the monastery of Scone in Perthshire in 846.

When King Edward I of England took the Stone to Westminster Abbey, it was inserted into the base of the new English coronation throne, St. Edward's Chair.

All British sovereigns since 1308 have been seated in St. Edward's Chair at the moment of their coronations, with the exception of Queen Mary I, whose coronation chair was given to her by the Pope.

Of course the problem here, is that most of the story so far is not only hearsay and speculation, but I have yet to discover any factual evidence or proof anywhere that validates any of it. We have all been blindly accepting it as fact and history without much thought for its validity. We are essentially talking about a rock, after all.

For example, Cambray in his *Monuments Celtiques* claims to have seen the stone when it bore the inscription: *Ni fallat fatum, Scoti quocumque locatum Invenient lapidiem, regnasse tenetur ibidem* (If the Destiny prove true, then the Scots are known to have been Kings where'er men find this stone").

If this is true, then the stone that Elizabeth was crowned upon is a fake, and if the true Liath Fàil stone is still at Scone or elsewhere, the Scots may yet be able to prove the English monarchs to be illegitimate.

On 25 December 1950 the Stone of Scone was stolen by Scottish Nationalists, who broke part of it while trying to move it.

It was later recovered in April 1951 and replaced in the Coronation Chair in February 1952, after additional precautions had been taken for its future safety.

On St. Andrew's Day, November 30th 1996, the Stone was returned to Scotland, exactly seven hundred years after King Edward I of England had taken it to Westminster Abbey in 1296. It was returned on the orders of the UK government and it is now on exhibition at Edinburgh Castle. After speaking via email with Westminster Abbey, I was told that there is an agreement to loan the stone to Westminster Abbey for future coronations.

For those who are interested in the story, Ian R. Hamilton's book "No Stone Unturned" is an autobiographical account of how, when and why the Stone was heisted.

The last time the Stone was used was in 1953 for the formal Coronation ceremony of Queen Elizabeth II, who had succeeded to the throne the previous year on the death of her father the King. With this in mind, let's now look at the following sections from the JAH lawsuit which begins with "Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg's Fraudulent Coronation." This argument really is central to the controversy, over what power the Australian Government really has, and if indeed it has any validity at all.

REGINA/THE QUEEN V JAH

LAWFUL ARGUMENT AGAINST JURISDICTION & SOVEREIGNTY

The person who purports to be the queen has never, in fact, rightfully or Lawfully been crowned as the Sovereign. This knowledge stems from the fact that the Coronation Stone / The Stone of Destiny / Bethel / Jacob's Pillar that Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg was crowned upon is a fake.

The real Coronation Stone; made from Bethel porphyry, weighing more than 4cwt. (458lbs.) according to the BBC telex in the film "The Coronation Stone", (Covenant Recordings), and Ian R. Hamilton Q.C. in three of his books: "No Stone Unturned" (pages 36, 44), "A Touch of Treason" (page 50) and "The Taking of The Stone of Destiny" (pages 27, 35); was removed from Westminster Abbey at 04:00 hrs on the 25th of December in 1950, by his group of four Scottish Nationalist students, which included and was led by Ian Robertson Hamilton himself. The other three were Alan Stuart, Gavin Vernon and Kay Matheson, as stated in his books.

The stone upon which Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg was crowned weighs exactly 3cwt (336lbs.) as attested to by Historic Scotland in their official booklet titled "The Stone of Destiny", "Symbol of Nationhood", obtainable from Edinburgh Castle, published by Historic Scotland, (ISBN 1 900168 44 8), who have had the stone that she was crowned on in their care, in Edinburgh Castle, since it was returned to Scotland by John Major's Conservative government in 1996.

As previously stated, the genuine Coronation Stone weighs more than 4 cwt. (458lbs.), but the one that Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg was crowned on, that has been on display in Edinburgh Castle since 1996, weighs 336lbs, not 458lbs., and thus cannot be the genuine Coronation Stone.

The real Coronation Stone ("National Treasure No. 1"), was taken to Scotland where, in Glasgow, it was handed over to Bertie Gray to repair it, and was later hidden by industrialist and philanthropist John Rollo in his factory, under his office-floor, according to Ian R. Hamilton's books – "No Stone Unturned" and "The Taking of The Stone of Destiny".

A fake stone copy had previously been made in 1920 by stone-mason, Bertie Gray, for a prior plan to repatriate the Coronation Stone, and it was made of Scottish sandstone from a quarry near Scone in Perthshire, weighing 3cwt. (336lbs.). The conspirators had used it to practice with, before going to London to Westminster Abbey to remove the real Coronation Stone from the abbey. It was that fake stone copy which was placed on the High Altar Stone at Arbroath Abbey, at Midday on the 11th April of 1951, wrapped in a Scottish Saltyre (St. Andrew's Flag – Dark blue with white diagonal cross on it) and found by the authorities, then transported to England, where it was used for the "queen's" coronation, according to Bertie Gray's children in a Daily Record Newspaper article.

Its new home was Westminster Abbey, where it was placed beneath the Coronation chair as a scornful symbol of Scotland's subservience to England. Ever since then, its theft has been a thorn in the side of Scotlish nationalists, symbolising England's arrogance and Scotland's shame at the loss of a sacred relic.

Almost 60 years ago, on Christmas Day 1950, Hamilton, then a brash and idealistic young student studying law at Glasgow University, became notorious in England and achieved nigh-on hero status in his native Scotland when he and a trio of friends staged one of the most audacious heists imaginable. In a caper worthy of an Ealing comedy, they motored from Glasgow to London (in those days no mean feat), broke into the Abbey, and stole the symbol of Scottish pride, the Stone of Scone – with one of the "thieves" breaking two toes when it fell on them.

He knew the best way to break in was by a door at the east end which was made of pine rather than stout oak. He had discovered this on an earlier trip, when he had been chanced upon by a security man who, thinking him homeless, gave him half a crown. "I've always felt a bit guilty about that but I couldn't blow my cover," Hamilton says. With Kay at the wheel of one of the get-away cars, the trio pulled and tugged at the Stone but were unable to free it. When they did it toppled over and smashed in two. "People always think I must have been horrified," Hamilton laughs. "But it made it easier to carry."

He carried the smaller piece to the car and returned for the rest. Then they heard the car moving. Hamilton ran out to find a policeman. He jumped in the car and gave Kay a passionate kiss, telling the officer they couldn't find a bed and breakfast. Kay drove the fragment to Birmingham for safe-keeping and Hamilton returned to find that his friends had fled. Undeterred, he rolled the remaining piece onto his coat and dragged it to the second car. By coincidence, he encountered his friends and they set off for Scotland.

As they sped off, the nightwatchman was phoning 999. When they reached the border, they marked the Stone's homecoming after 600 years by dousing it in whisky.

The hue and cry was enormous, but though the foursome were questioned police, once the Stone was found, did not press charges, fearing an even bigger incident. Hamilton gave up the Stone a year later, after a very public and fruitless police search, by leaving it at Arbroath Abbey, where the Scots had signed a declaration to fight for freedom in 1320.

LETTER TO THE GOVERNOR GENERAL

Dear Governor General's Office,

I am writing in regard to a rather contentious matter which has come to my attention, and which would affect a great many things in the Commonwealth Realm if proven to be correct.

There appears to be a great deal of talk and discussion on the net regarding the Queen's Coronation Oath and the authenticity of the Stone of Destiny (aka The Stone of Scone).

I have seen several different "versions" of the purported original stone, and have read many reports and accounts that the real one was removed in 1950 and taken back to Scotland. In it's place apparently, a fake stone made from sandstone, and weighing less than the original, was substituted.

This replacement stone, which appears not to have had the inscription "Ni fallat fatum, Scoti quocumque locatum Invenient lapidiem, regnasse tenetur ibidem" appears to be the one that Queen Elizabeth was crowned on.

This brings us to two points of contention. Firstly, if Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg was crowned on a fake stone, then obviously it was not a lawful Coronation, and henceforth invalid.

Secondly, if it was the real stone, I refer to her hand-signed Coronation Oath, of which I have a copy, which states that she will uphold the laws of god (as written in the Sovereigns Bible she swore upon).

There are no man-made laws in that Bible, the creation of which which would be in opposition to her oath. Afterall, the Governer-General's authority as well as that of the Legal Entity known as the "Commonwealth" supposedly comes from her..does it not?

Therefore, if you could provide me with written confirmation that she was in fact crowned on the original, authentic stone, I would be most grateful.

If you are unable to provide such substantiation in a sworn statement of the absolute truth in the matter within 30 days, then I shall assume you have none.

Regards			
Thomas And	erson		

Dear Mr Anderson

I refer to your email to the Governor-General. Her Excellency has asked me to reply to you on her behalf and I apologise for the delay in doing so.

This office holds no information on the matter you have raised. May I suggest you continue your search for information with officers at Westminster Abbey.

Yours sincerely

Mark Fraser OAM
Acting Official Secretary to the Governor-General

LETTER FROM WESTMINSTER ABBEY

Dear Mr Anderson,

Your message has been passed on to us in the Library. Please see our website for information on the Coronation Chair. Just type Coronation Chair in the query box at the top right hand of our opening page.

As you will see, the Stone went back to Scotland in 1996. The Scottish authorities accepted the Stone from the Abbey as the original one. Please contact Historic Scotland for more on the Stone.

The arrangement at the time of the Stone's removal was that it would be returned to the Abbey and placed under the seat of the Coronation Chair for any coronation.

See also the Guide to the Coronation Service on our website and the entry under History-Royals-Coronations for the Queen's entry with link to an online version of the 1953 service.

The Oath of 1953 was altered in the respect of the changed world since 1937. The Queen, Ministers of the Crown and major Churchmen serve on a Coronation Committee to decide the form to be followed at a coronation and whether any constitutional or other changes are required.

Best wishes, Yours sincerely, Christine Reynolds (Miss) Assistant Keeper of Muniments The Library Westminster Abbey, London SW1P 3PA

REPLY TO WESTMINSTER ABBEY

Dear Ms Reynolds,

Thankyou for your reply concerning the Coronation.

I was under the impression that the Coronation Oath was unable to be changed...from my reading of the law made when it was created, it was of a specific form. and not to be changed by future Monarchs.

You mention that the Scottish Government accepted the Stone as the original, but do you have any reference to them doing so? Have they signed any statement to that effect, or is it recorded anywhere that can be evidenced?

I have contacted the Scottish Government to enquire about the authenticity of the stone, and asked why (1) It appears to weigh much less than the original stone, and (2) why it is missing the inscription it is supposed to have on it.

Did they accept back the original fake, or the original, original?

It remains to be proven I think that the rock is not just merely a fabrication by a stone mason from perhaps before the time it was brought to Westminster Abbey in the first place..as there are rumours it was merely the lid of an ancient cess pit...but of course, there is no way to know for certain, unless there is undeniable historical proof that it is indeed Jacob's Stone. I would like to see that evidence.

By the same token, I could tell you that a rock in my garden was used by Alexander the Great as a bookend....and you'd have to take my word for it. I really think it is hearsay.

So for arguments sake, over the past 60 years, the Queen may have been unlawfully Coronated, and claiming the right to rule over 19 countries. Yet no-one is able to verify if the Stone that gave her that power is the true one, and not just a toilet lid, is beyond my comprehension I'm afraid.

I also cannot understand why the Queen took an oath to uphold the Laws of God, on a Sovereign Bible, yet she has given assent to Statute (man-made) laws in opposition to that Oath.

I would email her to ask these question, but there is no email address provided on the Royal Website.

As for writing a physical letter, they are expecting me to write "your humble and obedient servant" at the end of the letter, which is outrageous. I am nobody's servant, let alone some old lady in another country who I have never met.

Best Thomas Anderson

THE ROYAL OATH

The Arch-Bishop or Bishop: "Will You solemnly Promise and Swear to Govern the People of this Kingdom of England and the Dominions thereto belonging according to the Statutes in Parliament Agreed on and the Laws and Customs of the same?

King/Queen: "I solemnly Promise so to do."

Arch Bishop or Bishop: "Will You to Your power cause Law and Justice in Mercy to be Executed in all Your Judgements."

King/Queen: "I will."

Arch Bishop or Bishop. "Will You to the utmost of Your power Maintain the Laws of God the true Profession of the Gospel and the Protestant Reformed Religion Established by Law? And will You Preserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of this Realm and to the Churches committed to their Charge all such Rights and Privileges as by Law doe or shall appertain unto them or any of them?"

King/Queen: "All this I Promise to do"

After this the King and Queen laying His and Her Hand upon the Holy Gospels, shall say, King and Queen The things which I have here before promised I will perform and Keep So help me God. Then the King and Queen shall kiss the Book. IV Oath to be adminstered to all future Kings and Queens. And the said Oath shall be in like manner Adminstred to every King or Queene who shall Succeede to the Imperiall Crowne of this Realme at their respective Coronations by one of the Archbishops or Bishops of this Realme of England...

NB: The oath however has been modified without statutory authority, and at the Coronation of Elizabeth II, the exchange between the Queen and the Archbishop was as follows:

The Archbishop of Canterbury: "Will you solemnly promise and swear to govern the Peoples of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, the Union of South Africa, Pakistan and Ceylon, and of your Possessions and other Territories to any of them belonging or pertaining, according to their respective laws and customs?"

The Queen: "I solemnly promise so to do."

The Archbishop of Canterbury: "Will you to your power cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all your judgments?"

The Queen: "I will."

The Archbishop of Canterbury: "Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel? Will you to the utmost of your power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law? Will you maintain and preserve inviolable the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof, as by law established in England? And will you preserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges, as by law do or shall appertain to them or any of them?"

The Queen: "All this I promise to do. The things which I have here before promised, I will perform, and keep. So help me God.



I solemnly promise and swear to govern the Peoples of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the Union of South Africa, Pakistan and Ceylon, and of my Possessions and the other Territories to any of them belonging or pertaining, according to their respective laws and customs.

I will to my power cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all my judgements.

I will to the utmost of my power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel. I will to the utmost of my power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law. And I will maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof, as by law established in England. And I will preserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges as by law do or shall appertain to them or any of them.

The things which I have here before promised, I will perform and keep.

So help me God.

REGISTRATION INVESTIGATION



By now, most of you who have listened to my talks or researched these topics will at some time have wondered exactly what Registration means. Sure, we are all familiar with the process, as it's everywhere in our daily lives from Car Rego to Births, Deaths and Marriage. Everything that your legal person ever does is required to be registered to the legal entity known as the COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA.

But what does it actually mean, and what (if any) connection is there to the Court System and Banks we have today?

One of my favourite ways of comprehending the true meaning of legalese is to go back to the words origins and find the root of where it comes from. I have discussed this before with obvious but little understood words such as Ab-Original (meaning not original) and Police (Policy Enforcers) as well as Invalid Pensioners (In-Valid or no longer valid).

The word Registration is made up of three parts.

REGIS-TRA-TION

REGIS is the singular of "REX" and means "of a King" or "of The King." (See also Regal, Regalia etc).

NB: The Curia Regis, in the Kingdom of England, was a council of tenants-in-chief (those who held lands directly from the King, known as manors) and ecclesiastics that advised the King of England on legislative matters.

This is followed by two suffixes, TRA and TION.

TRA is derived from Sanskrit (such as Mantra) and means "tool" or instrument. (note that a written contract can be a negotiable instrument). It can also mean Vessel.

TION is derived from the Latin word "tio", meaning "the act of".

The suffix -tion is added to a noun in order to make it a noun which has been altered from a particular process; as in the term education. It means "the process of". It is the result of a process or action; or a state or condition.

So the literal translation of Registration could be taken as "The King's Processing Tool."

The Court of Queen's Bench grew out of the King's Court, or *Curia Regis*, which, both in character and the essence of its jurisdiction, dates back to the reign of King Alfred. The original Queen's Bench was founded in 1215 and was the name of two courts, in England and Wales and in Ireland respectively.

THE KINGS BENCH

KING'S BENCH WALK

In England and Wales, the Queen's Bench Division is part of the High Court of Justice. It was created by the Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1873.

However, the Gladstone Liberal government fell in 1874 before its entry into force, and the succeeding Disraeli Tory government suspended the entry into force of the Act by means of further Acts passed in 1874 and 1875. After the act was abolished, the Court's jurisdiction passed to a new High Court of Justice and specifically to the Queen's Bench Division of that court.

At first, it was not specifically a court of law, but was the centre of royal power and national administration in England, consisting of the King, together with his advisors, courtiers, and administrators.

In 1215, the Magna Carta provided that there should be a court – the Common Bench (later Court of Common Pleas), which met in a fixed place – and, by 1234, two distinct series of plea rolls existed: *de banco* (Bank), those from the Common Bench – and *coram rege* (Latin for "in the presence of the King") – for those from the King's Bench

The King's Bench became a fixed court sitting in Westminster Hall. Its justices travelled on circuit (a requirement of Magna Carta).

The King's travelling representatives, whose primary purpose was tax collection, acted on behalf of the King to make the administration of justice more even. The tradition of judges travelling around the country in set 'circuits' remains to this day, where they hear cases in the district registries of the High Court.

The original jurisdiction of a court is the power to hear a case for the first time, as opposed to appellate jurisdiction when a court has the power to review a lower court's decision. In ancient Rome a magistratus was one of the highest government officers and possessed both judicial and executive powers.

Interestingly, the term Magistrate appears to come from the word "Magi", a term used since at least the 4th century BC, to denote followers of Zoroaster, or rather, of what the Hellenistic world associated Zoroaster with, which was the ability to read the stars, and manipulate the fate that the stars foretold. One factor for the association with astrology was Zoroaster's name, or rather, what the Greeks made of it. Within the scheme of their thinking, which was always on the lookout for hidden significances and real meanings of words, his name was identified at first with star-worshiping (astrothytes "star sacrificer") and, with the Zo-, even as the living star.



It is also the old word for a practitioner of magic, to include astrology, alchemy and other forms of esoteric knowledge. In English, the term "magi" is most commonly used in reference to the Gospel of Matthews "wise men from the East", or "three wise men" though that number does not actually appear in Matthew's account. The plural "magi" entered the English language around 1200, in reference to the Biblical magi of Matthew 2:1.

In the courts of England and Wales, magistrates are also known as justices of the peace (JPs).

The origin of the term *bar* is from the barring furniture dividing a medieval European courtroom, similarly as the origin of the term "Bank" for the location of financial transactions in medieval Europe.

The dock of course signifies entry into maritime jurisdiction, and once you step into that fictional land you automatically come under the full control of the court. After that, you can forget about common law, as they will not be able to "hear" you.

High Court Judges are sometimes referred to as "red judges" after the colour of their formal robes, in contrast to circuit judges who are referred to as "purple judges" for the same reason, in respect to their Knighthood. The title of Dame is the female equivalent of the honour of knighthood in the British honours system.

There are many orders in England and throughout the world, however none it seems is as influential, or boasts such exclusive membership as the Order of the Garter.

The Most Noble Order of the Garter was founded in 1348, and is the highest order of chivalry or knighthood existing in England.

THE ORDER OF THE GARTER



The order is dedicated to the image and arms of St. George as England's patron saint and is presently bestowed on recipients from British and other Commonwealth realms.

It is the pinnacle of the honours system in the United Kingdom and membership is limited to the Sovereign, the Prince of Wales and no more than twenty-four members.


Listed at number one, and above The Duke of Wellington, is Lord Carrington, who served as British Foreign Secretary between 1979 and 1982 and as the sixth Secretary General of NATO from 1984 to 1988. The Secretary General is responsible for coordinating the workings of the alliance, serves as the head of the North Atlantic Council is the primary spokesperson of the alliance, and leads NATO's staff.



THE 24 MEMBERS OF THE ORDER OF THE GARTER

- 1. The Lord Carrington KG GCMG CH MC PC JP DL (1985)
- 2. The Duke of Wellington KG LVO OBE MC DL (1990)
- 3. Field Marshal The Lord Bramall KG GCB OBE MC JP (1990)
 - The Viscount Ridley KG GCVO TD (1992)
- 5. The Lord Sainsbury of Preston Candover кс (1992)
 - 6. The Lord Ashburton KG KCVO DL (1994)
 - 7. The Lord Kingsdown KG PC (1994)
 - 8. Sir Ninian Stephen kg ak gcmg gcvo kbe qc (1994)
 - 9. The Baroness Thatcher LG OM PC FRS (1995)
 - 10. Sir Timothy Colman KG JP (1996)
 - 11. The Duke of Abercorn ка (1999)
- 12. Sir William Gladstone of Fasque and Balfour, Bt kg JP DL (1999)
 - 13. Field Marshal The Lord Inge KG GCB DL (2001)
 - 14. Sir Antony Acland KG GCMG GCVO (2001)
 - 15. The Duke of Westminster KG CB OBE TD DL CD (2003)
 - 16. The Lord Butler of Brockwell KG GCB CVO PC (2003)
 - 17. The Lord Morris of Aberavon KG PC QC (2003)
 - 18. Sir John Major кg сн рс (2005)
 - 19. The Lady Soames LG DBE (2005)
 - 20. The Lord Luce KG GCVO PC DL (2008)
 - 21. Sir Thomas Dunne KG KCVO JP (2008)
 - 22. The Lord Phillips of Worth Matravers KG PC (2011)
 - 23. Admiral The Lord Boyce KG GCB OBE DL (2011)
 - 24. Vacant

KNIGHTS TEMPLAR AND THE BANKING SYSTEM



The Knights of the Temple of King Solomon, which later became abbreviated to Knights Templar, are one of the enigmas of ancient history, and their legacy continues today in many areas.

I had been wondering for some time about the apparent similarity between the Knights Templar flag, and the flag of Switzerland. It seems too much of a co-incidence to me that this is also the head of some of the most powerful banks in the world, and the most secretive.

I decided to look into this connection and was surprised to find out that indeed the surviving Templars appear to have fled to this part of the word after their persecution. At the time of their arrest, the Templars had amassed great wealth, though not as much as the Hospitallers. When they were in the Holy Land and upon their return, they were exempt from all taxes and as such, had many privileges.

Though initially an Order of poor monks, the official papal sanction made the Knights Templar a charity across Europe. Further resources came in when members joined the Order, as they had to take oaths of poverty and therefore often donated large amounts of their original cash or property to the Order.

The Knights' involvement in banking grew over time into a new basis for money as Templars became increasingly involved in banking activities. Officially the idea of lending money in return for interest was forbidden by the church, but the Order sidestepped this with clever loopholes, such as a stipulation that the Templars retained the rights to the production of mortgaged property. Since they weren't allowed to charge interest, they charged rent instead.

They had loaned enormous amounts of money to the Kings of both England and France as well as many great nobles. The Templars and Hospitallers acted as King Henry's bankers in the Holy Land and had large land holdings across Europe. Their practice was to take in local currency, for which a demand note would be given that would be good at any of their castles across Europe, allowing movement of money without the usual risk of robbery while travelling. The reason behind Philip IV of France's persecution of the Templars, seems to be based upon these financial considerations.


Court Jews were Jewish bankers or businessmen who lent money and handled the finances of some of the Christian European noble houses primarily in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. Court Jews were precursors to the modern financier or Secretary of the Treasury. Their jobs included raising revenues by tax farming negotiating loans, master of the mint, creating new sources for revenue, negotiating loans, floating debentures, devising new taxes and supplying the military. In addition, the Court Jew acted as personal bankers for nobility.

In the middle of the 13th century, groups of Italian Christians, particularly the Cahorsins and Lombards invented legal fictions to get around the ban on Christian usury; for example, one method of effecting a loan with interest was to offer money without interest, but also require that the loan is insured against possible loss or injury, and/or delays in repayment (see contractum trinius). The Christians effecting these legal fictions became known as the *pope's usurers*, and reduced the importance of the Jews to European monarchs. It seems likely to me that the term Userer, may have changed into the present day word Insurer.

In England, the Crown was also deeply in debt to the Templars, and probably on that basis, the Templars were also persecuted in England. Their lands were forfeited and taken by others, (the last private owner being the favorite of Edward II, Hugh le Despenser). Many Templars in England were killed, whilst others fled to Scotland and other places.

It is a widely held belief that many Templar Knights fled into the Swiss Alps, as there are records of Swiss villagers around that time suddenly becoming very skilled military tacticians.

Consider the similarities between the Cross of St George, which backs the Union Jack (Union of Jacob), the Knights Templar Flag, the Swiss Flag and the Red Cross - used by Hospitals (Knights Hospitaller). They all feature a red cross on a white background, except for the swiss flag in which the red and white are inverted.



Now compare the shield on an old Templar coin, with that of the one on a Swiss Franc.



SECURITISATION 101 – STEP BY STEP GUIDE

Banks in homes uproar

TOLUSE OLORUNNIPA INA PAIVA CORDLE MIAMI

IN A NEW mortgageforeclosure crisis that has gone national, United States attorneys general in all 50 states, and the District of Columbia, have launched an inquiry into the nation's lenders - as new figures show banks last month repossessed a record number of homes. The joint investigation, led

by Iowa Attorney General Tom Miller, seeks to find out if mortgage servicers and banks have been using flawed documents in court proceedings that have dispossessed hundreds of thousands of distressed homebuyers.

The announcement comes when the foreclosure debacle, which encompasses millions of now-questionable documents, foreclosure-shy title insurers and law firms processing paperwork at a dizzying pace, mushroomed in magnitude.

GMAC and JPMorgan Chase followed in the footsteps of Bank of America by expanding foreclosure suspensions. Those freezes, previously restricted to 23 states, now blanket the country, encompassing hundreds of thousands of distressed

homebuyers. Two of the nation's other top lenders, Wells Fargo and Citigroup, have not stopped their foreclosure processes.

Recent figures reveal that in the months before the foreclosure system began to implode, lenders were ramping up their home repossession operations to unprecedented levels.

RealtyTrac, a Californiabased real estate research firm, says lenders reclaimed 102,134 homes nationwide last month, the first time bank repossessions had reached 100,000.

Meanwhile, the new hodgepodge of investigating agencies is in the early stages of an expansive inquiry of the banks' lending and foreclosing practices.

ing practices.

More than 50 financial regulating agencies from all over the country including Florida's Office of Financial Regulation are working with

he group of attorneys general in the bank inquiry. OFR has oversight over

OFR has oversight over mortgage loan-servicing companies, and more than one-eighth of the national mortgage-servicing market falls within Florida's regulatory authority. Other companies in focus are are GMAC Mortgage, PHH Mortgage, American Home Mortgage, ASBC Mortgage and Litton Loan Servicing. - AP

While we are on the topic of Banking, many of you will know that during the second half of 2011, we offered our assistance to a Bank Class Action group. It was a huge undertaking on our part, as there were a lot of people wanting Securitisation Reports, and sometimes we would get one person wanting three, four or more reports done.

Unfortunately we would sometimes also get people claiming to be part of the class action group, just to get the discounted rate. With the pile up of reports, and only being able to effectively complete two of them a day, people were kept waiting weeks, even months before we had the free time to get to theirs.

In the end we had to stop doing them, so instead of leaving people without a means of finding out this information, I thought it would be best to explain what we do, step by step, so that you can do your own investigations.

What we normally ask people for is as follows:

- 1. The Date of the Loan approval
- 2. The name of the Originator and Bank involved (if using a broker)
- If it was a Full or Low doc loan (as they are placed differently)
- 4. Any names of other companies involved that you might be aware of, such as a servicer or in the case of legal letters, an interested party. On some loan contracts it will also list in the privacy section, the names of the "lender". I say names, as there can often be 5 or more companies listed under the general heading of Lender, and not just the Bank's name. Also look for names such as Permanent, Sandhurst or Perpetual, who are the most common Trustees.

The name is not really necessary for us, as we would not be able to make enquiries on someone else's behalf anyway. That would be up to you to do once you have the details of the parties involved in the "conduit".

The conduit is the common name for the Securitisation structure that a particular Bank uses to provide funding for its loan sales, and I have included a typical structure diagram in this guide. Once I have this information, I can then start to look for the supporting documentation for the Trust that is the most likely location of your loan instruments.

The first stop is obviously to look at the investor section of the Bank involved. I'll use the Commonwealth Bank as an example and I will follow through as though I am doing a search for a client.

Type COMMONWEALTH BANK SECURITISATION into your browser, and you will come up with a link to the following page:



Here you will find a list of Investor documents including Information Memorandums and market activity for particular mortgage backed securities.

Now remember that this is only the first stop, and that it is possible you will not find what you are looking for on their site. Some Trusts are unlisted, some have been sold and re-pooled, and some are simply no longer available.

On the Commonwealth site, there is a list of their Trusts, including the CATS, GOLDSTAR, MEDALLION and SWAN series.

Mortgage back securities are debt obligations that represent claims on the cash flows from mortgage loans, commonly on residential properties.

Below you'll find the reports for the Bank's mortgage backed securities.

Medallion Trust Reports

- Series 2004-1G Medallion Trust
- Series 2005-1G Medallion Trust
- Series 2005-2G Medallion Trust
- ▶ Series 2006-1G Medallion Trust
- ▶ Series 2007-1G Medallion Trust
- Series 2008-1R Medallion Trust
- ▶ Series 2011-1 Medallion Trust

As a starting point I have chosen the Series 2011-1 Medallion Trust. When you click on that link, you will be taken to another page which describes the Trust and it's details such as start date, maturity date, the particular tranches (pools by security type) and often their corresponding ISIN number.

This can be helpful later on in your search as you can also Google the ISIN to get other important market info. Let's go ahead now and have a look at the 2011 Medallion Trust.

Series 2011-1 Medallion Trust

Summary of Notes at Issue

Issue Date:	2nd May 2011
Maturity Date:	22nd November 2042
Issuance Volume:	AUD 3,000,000,000.00
Monthly Distribution Date:	22nd day of each calendar month commencing on 22nd June 2011
Semi-annually Distribution Date:	22nd day of April and October in each year, commencing on 22nd October 2011
Business Day Convention:	Following Business Day (Sydney)
Security Type:	Class A1
Amount:	AUD 2,253,000,000
Coupon Details:	BBSW1M + 95bps
Rating (S&P/Fitch):	AAA(sf)/AAAsf
CUSIP:	N/A
ISIN:	AU3FN0012944
SEDOL:	N/A
Common Code:	61693734
Security Type:	Class A2
Amount:	AUD 525,000,000
Coupon Details:	6.5% Fixed
Rating (S&P/Fitch):	AAA(sf)/AAAsf
CUSIP:	N/A
ISIN:	AU3CB0173953
SEDOL:	N/A
Common Code:	61694218
Security Type:	Class AB
Amount:	AUD 108,000,000
Coupon Details:	BBSW1M + 170bps
Rating (S&P/Fitch):	AAA(sf)/AAAsf
CUSIP:	N/A
ISIN:	AU3FN0012951
SEDOL:	N/A
Common Code:	61694374

Information Memorandum

19 April 2011

At the bottom left of the previous page, you will see there is a link to download the Information Memorandum. That is one of the documents you will need. On the back page of the IM there is usually a directory with the contact info of all the parties involved. In this case, these are the details we are given.

Note: PT Limited means Perpetual Trustees Limited, one of the most common Trustees we come across in our searches.

Directory

Trustee

Perpetual Trustee Company Limited Level 12 123 Pitt Street Sydney NSW 2000

Security Trustee

P.T. Limited Level 12 123 Pitt Street Sydney NSW 2000

Manager, Seller, Servicer, Liquidity Facility Provider and Interest Rate Swap Provider

Bank of Western Australia Ltd Level 34, Bankwest Tower 108 St Georges Terrace Perth WA 6000

Arranger, Lead Manager and Book-Runner

Commonwealth Bank of Australia Ground Floor Darling Park Tower 1 201 Sussex Street Sydney NSW 2000

Co-Manager

Macquarie Bank Limited 1 Martin Place Sydney NSW 2000

Legal Advisers to Bank of Western Australia Ltd and Commonwealth Bank of Australia,

Mallesons Stephen Jaques
Level 61
Governor Phillip Tower
1 Farrer Place
Sydney NSW 2000

Now that you have the Information Memorandum, you can find out some basic things about the Trust. The index tells us that in section 6.2 (page 57) is the description of the Housing Loans, and on page 17 we discover that the cut-off date for inclusion in this Trust is 7th April 2011.

Obviously if your loan was in December of 2011, it would not have been included in this Series. This is why the loan origination date is so important in being able to narrow down your search. You also need to look for details about the loan types as Low Doc loans may not be included in first run pools, (2011-1) but may be in secondary ones (2001-2, 2011-3) etc

The major ratings agencies, Standard and Poors, Fitch and Moody's, all provide ratings on these listed securities, and more often than not you can find evidence of particular funds simply by doing a search such as:

WESTPAC 2004-1 SERIES MARKET RELEASE or WST SERIES TRUST.

Likewise, if you live in Sydney, although still with Commonwealth Bank, it is unlikely that your loan would be pooled into one of the SWAN Trusts, as they are related to loans originated by the Bank of Western Australia.

For that reason, we have spent months pouring through hundreds of IM's, Trust Deeds and other documents for almost every Bank and year to make sure we have the right ones for a clients particular loan. We now have a huge library of all those documents, which we plan to make available at some stage.

Now this is only the beginning of your search, as we are about to move onto the more interesting part, involving the ASX and the US SEC.

The Australian Stock Exchange and the United States Securities Exchange Commission are the two main resources that we use to locate the Master Trust Deeds and Security Deeds, along with Market Release information and Debt Listings for particular Trusts. Fortunately for us it is a requirement that securities be registered.


Many of these are listed overseas, and in particular, Trusts are commonly registered as companies in the state of Delaware in the US, simply because of their laws relating to taxation there. It also means that they are bound by court cases in the US. The US is leading the way in the dismantlement of the global Securitisation Ponzi Scheme, and now has some excellent precedent court cases.

Most recently the Attorney General of the US has issued a court case against all the major players including the MERS electronic filing system.

It has taken me a bit of time to work out how to find some trusts on there that are delisted, but it should be easy for you now to follow along with the search. There is also a helpful document you should get a hold of, and it is usually titled "Eligible Securities", and is a RBA Excel file.

This lists all the securities that the Government has supported or assisted with funding, or purchased and sold. Lets start with the ASX, and I'll show you how to find the other documents I mentioned.

ASX SEARCH



In the left hand menu column of the ASX site, click on Announcements, and if you already know the code of the Trust or Fund you are looking for you can type it straight into the search box and select the year of the Trust.

If you don't know the code, simply type in the name of the suspected trust or the banks name into the search box on the right (labelled "Don't know the ASX code?"). You will generally be able to find a list of matches there.

Sometimes the documents you are looking for are not listed until the year after the fund was created, so in that case, just check the box next to the "released during" and put in and select an alternative year.

What you are wanting to find is the first listings for any particular Trust, as they will include the Debt Listing Agreement, the Security Trust Deed, the Master Trust Deed, Series Notices and Information Memorandums etc.

For example, let's say that I am looking for the Trust documents for the Torrens Series Trusts. I type "*Torrens*" into the search bar and I get these choices.



The ASX code for each Trust is listed at the beginning of each entry, so I can then either click on the one I want, or go back and insert the code directly. I'm going to select the 2010-1 Series Trust, and will select 2010 as the year.

Search results: Company announcements for:


TORRENS SERIES 2010-1 TRUST (TRP)

	Drice				Edited
Date	Price sens.	<u>Headline</u>	Pages	<u>PDF</u>	text*
01/10/2010		Full Year Statutory Accounts 30 June 2010	21	PDF	-
10/05/2010		TRP - Series Supplement	146	<u>PDF</u>	-
10/05/2010		Security Trust Deed	69	<u>PDF</u>	-
10/05/2010		Master Trust Deed	83	PDF	-
10/05/2010		Information Memorandum	138	<u>PDF</u>	-
10/05/2010		Deed of Amendment (Series Supplement)	7	<u>PDF</u>	-
10/05/2010		Appendix 1B	11	PDF	-
10/05/2010	- !	Admission to Official List	1	PDF	-

It's important to remember that although the Trust you are looking for may not show up in on the first go, try going back through the years until you find it, as older Trusts are often re-pooled or purchased and have been closed. Therefore they might not show up in the general search if they are not currently listed or active. From the information above, you can now access the PDF versions of the Primary Trust documents simply by clicking on the links. You will get a page that pops up briefly, but just click "agree and continue", and then your document will start to download.


US S.E.C

Now let's move on to the US Securities and Exchange Commission (US SEC) and run through the same process.




On the home page, locate the "Filings and Forms" menus, and click on "Search for Company Filings". This is the same place you can find the Commonwealth of Australia listed.

After you have clicked on the Company Filings search menu, the following options will be available:



Click on the "Company or fund name, ticker symbol" etc link at the top of the list and you will be taken to this page to refine your search.




Select the box "contains" and type in "trust series", as an example, and you will get a list of hundreds of RMBS Mortgage Pools. Of course this is not overly helpful if you are looking for specific documents, but it is a really good research tool for looking at other securitisation conduits.

Let's narrow the search down and relate it back to the Commonwealth Bank information we looked at before on their website.

I typed in "Medallion" as a search term, hoping to find a bit more information about the Trust details we looked at earlier, as all that was available on their website was the Information Memorandum. On the following page is a partial list of the results that came back from that search.

I was unable to find anything on the 2011 Series, however I will look at the details of the Medallion 2005-1G as it might give us some further information. More often than not, the same office and managers run every Trust Series for a particular Bank, so you will at least have their contact details.

0001292090	Securitisation Advisory Services Medallion Trust Series 2000-2G SIC: 6189 - ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES
0001292238	Securitisation Advisory Services Medallion Trust Series 2001-1G SIC: 6189 - ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES
0001292064	Securitisation Advisory Services Medallion Trust Series 2003-1G SIC: 6189 - ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES
0001314853	Securitisation Advisory Services Medallion Trust Series 2005-1G SIC: 6189 - ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES
0001315708	Securitisation Advisory Services Medallion Trust Series 2005-1G SIC: 6189 - ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES
0001324864	Securitisation Advisory Services Medallion Trust Series 2005-2G SIC: 6189 - ASSET-BACKED SECURITIES formerly: Securitisation Advisory Services Medallion Trust Series 2005-1G (filings through 2005-04-25)



At the bottom of the page, you can see the 8-K filings and the Prospectus, which is the information we are looking for. These can be very large documents and may take some time to load up.

Frome these documents, I was able to find out the following details about the Housing Loan Pool and the Sellers, which was listed in the Prospectus as follows:


THE HOUSING LOAN POOL

The housing loan pool will consist of fixed rate and variable rate residential housing loans secured by mortgages on owner occupied and non-owner occupied one-to-four family residential properties. The housing loans will have terms to stated maturity as of the cut-off date of no more than 30 years.

THE SELLERS

Commonwealth Bank of Australia, COMMONWEALTH BANK, and Homepath Pty Limited, HOMEPATH, will be the sellers for the Medallion Trust Series 2005-1G. Commonwealth Bank has a long term credit rating of AA from Fitch, Aa3 from Moody's and AA- from Standard & Poor's and a short term credit rating of A-1+ from Standard & Poor's, F1+ from Fitch and P-1 from Moody's.

Within one of the Prospectus documents online you should find the digital version of the structure diagram for the securitisation conduit. This process should give you all the names and addresses that you need to make your enquiries and confirm the location of your mortgage instruments.



A typical structure diagram showing the securitisation conduit and parties involved. (COMMONWEALTH BANK/HOMEPATH)

Form 19 (version 1) UCPR 21.10

NOTICE TO PRODUCE FOR INSPECTION

COURT DETAILS

Court

#Division

#List

Registry

Case number

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS

[First] plaintiff [name]

#Second plaintiff #Number of plaintiffs (if more than two)

[First] defendant [name]

#Second defendant #Number of defendants (if more than two)

PREPARATION DETAILS

Prepared for [name] [role of party eg plaintiff]

#Legal representative [solicitor on record] [firm]

#Legal representative reference [reference number]
Contact name and telephone [name] [telephone]

NOTICE TO PRODUCE

Name

Address

You are required to produce the following documents or things for inspection by the [role of party who prepared this notice] #by [date for production].

[NOTE - 14 days or longer is taken to be reasonable unless the contrary is established.]

[list documents or things].

SIGNATURE

#Signature of legal representative

#Signature of or on behalf of party if not legally represented

Capacity [eg solicitor, authorised officer, role of party]

Date of signature

Many people have asked why the Court has not followed through with their requests to produce the original note. I believe this may be because the Court needs to be "moved", and therefore it is important to make the right submissions, such as a Motion to Compel, Subpoena to Attend and give Evidence, or Notice to Produce for Inspection.

Form 27 (version 2) UCPR 33.3

SUBPOENA TO ATTEND TO GIVE EVIDENCE AND TO PRODUCE

COURT DETAILS

Court

#Division

#List

Registry

Case number

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS

[First] plaintiff [name]

#Second plaintiff #Number of plaintiffs (if more than two)

[First] defendant [name]

#Second defendant #Number of defendants (if more than two)

ISSUING DETAILS

Issued at request of [name] [role of party eg plaintiff]

#Legal representative [solicitor on record] [firm]

#Legal representative reference [reference number]
Contact name and telephone [name] [telephone]

Address for service

ORDER TO THE WITNESS

Name

Address

You are ordered to attend and give evidence and to produce this subpoena or a copy of it and the documents or things specified in the Schedule.

NOTICE TO THE WITNESS

- Failure to comply with this subpoena without lawful excuse is a contempt of court and may result in your arrest.
- 2 The last day for service of this subpoena is [date] (see note 1).

[Note: date must be 5 clear days before the earliest date on which the addressee is required to comply with the subpoena or an earlier or later date fixed by the court (UCPR 33.3), unless the subpoena is to be served on a medical expert (see UCPR 31.32) or outside NSW, but in Australia.]

3 Please read notes 1 to 12 at the end of this subpoena.

BANK CLASS ACTIONS AND THE IMF





11th January 2012

Bank Fees Class Actions Update:

Class actions were issued on 16th December 2011 in the Federal Court against Commonwealth Bank, NAB, Westpac and Citibank. Further actions are likely to follow against St George/ BankSA and Bankwest early in 2012. For customers of Suncorp, Bendigo, Bank of Queensland or HSBC, there are still not sufficient numbers to issue legal proceedings – however, subject to a favourable outcome in the test case against ANZ, we will still endeavour to settle these claims by negotiation.

You may have read that the Federal Court has recently ruled on the preliminary issue of whether ANZ's exception fees could amount to penalties at law. In a nutshell, the court found in class action members' favour on late payment fees on credit cards, but against on honour and dishonour and over-limit fees. The main case, which will consider wider areas of unfairness, and what ANZ's true costs were, is likely to be heard in the second half of 2012. In the meantime, the lawyers will appeal those aspects of the preliminary judgment that went against class members.

I find it rather strange that the International Monetary Fund would launch an investigation into one of the tentacles of it's own Banking system, but perhaps it's a way for them to keep the masses happy. It has the appearance of doing something about the bigger problem, and has the luxury of being at the mercy of the court, which always supports the Banks here in Australia anyway.

Maybe they think it will keep the wolves from the door if they can see something is being done about what amounts to a minute part of the enormous pyramid ponzi scheme that is the Banking and Securitisation business today.

The Federal Court has ruled that late fees charged on credit cards could be characterised as a penalty and therefore may be legally unenforceable. I have been getting late fees back for myself and many of my friends for years, but I didn't need the IMF or Court's help to do so. I merely asked them where the money went, who did the work that created the fee I received, and could I have an invoice for the amount they think I owe them. They soon erase the ones and zeroes just as quickly as they typed them in.

Maurice Blackburn has stated that proceedings against the other four banks would be issued in the Federal Court in Melbourne on behalf of 150,000 customers, for claims worth almost \$200 million. I doubt that that small amount, spread over five Banks would worry any of them considering the billions of dollars they make from us in profit every year.

I wonder how long it will take before we finally get legal precedent here in Australia like they already have in the United States. What we need is for someone to make the right submissions to compel production of the original mortgage deeds to show that the Banks are the holders in due course, not petty law suits over \$2 late fees etc.

ATTORNEY GENERAL LAWSUITS



Massachusetts Attorney General Martha Coakley (pictured above) recently announced a lawsuit against five national banks in the US for allegedly pursuing illegal foreclosures on properties in her state.

This seemed like a real breakthrough at first, however the Obama administration put pressure on the states attorneys general to accept a \$26 billion settlement deal binding five banks over their fraudulent foreclosure practices.

Thankfully, New York Attorney General Eric Schneider has showed some backbone and filed an independent lawsuit to hold several of the nation's biggest banks accountable for defrauding potentially tens of millions of homeowners through a wide range of deceptive and fraudulent foreclosure filings.

The lawsuit asserts that employees and agents of Bank of America, J.P. Morgan Chase, and Wells Fargo, acting as "MERS certifying officers," have repeatedly submitted court documents containing false and misleading information that made it appear that the foreclosing party had the authority to bring a case when in fact it may not have.

The lawsuit names JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as well as Virginia-based MERSCORP, Inc. and its subsidiary, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. MERS has filed over 13,000 foreclosure actions against New York homeowners listing itself as the plaintiff, but in many instances, MERS lacked the legal authority to foreclose and did not own or hold the promissory note, despite saying otherwise in court submissions.

Schneiderman said "Our action demonstrates that there is one set of rules for all – no matter how big or powerful the institution may be – and that those rules will be enforced vigorously".

CIVIL AC COVER SI		B.L.S.	363	Trial Court Of Massachuse Superior Court Departmen County: SUFFOLK	- 3000
PLAINTIFF(S) COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS			[SEE APPENDIX A, ATTACHED]		
	illa, AAG,	John M. Stephan, AAG,	Sara Cable,	ATTORNEY (if known)	
AAG, and Justin J. Office of the Attorn One Ashburton Pla Boston, MA 02108 617-727-2000	ney General ce			RECEIV DEC 01 2	
Board of Bar Overseers number	647566, 64	9509, 667084			
		Origin C	ode	WICHAEL PREEDING	CTVIL
		Original Co	mplaint	CLESS MACH	nen .
	TYPE	F ACTION AND TRACK D	ESIGNATION (S	ce reverse side)	
CODE NO.		CTION (specify)	TRACK	IS THIS A JURY CASE?	
BH2,BG1,BJ1	Unfair and deceptive business practices in violation of M. G. L. c. 93A		() Yes (X)	No	
The following is a full The Business Litigation		statement of the facts on w	hich plaintiff re	ies to determine eligibility in	to
for violations of M foreclosure crisis in their residential mo	G. L. c. 93 Massachu rtgage loan n unfair an	A arising out Defendant setts. The conduct alleg s, and includes, without deceptive foreclosure	ts' unfair and or ed has affected limitation: practices by co	civil penalties and injunct leceptive acts during the h I thousands of homeowner anducting foreclosures who	eight of to s through on the
defendants lacked t the holders of the n	-	do so and misrepresenting	ng to homeowr	ers their roles as mortgag	ees or as
Engaging in	n false docu	mentation practices to f	acilitate their f	oreclosure practices;	
regarding its loan n	nodification		tively in imple	oans by misrepresenting to ementing loan modification	

- Failing to comply with Massachusetts' registration statute. 4.

The Defendants, and their subsidiaries and related entities, are responsible for the vast majority of unlawful foreclosures that occurred in the Commonwealth in the last four years. Given the scope of the conduct alleged, the complex facts involved, voluminous discovery anticipated, and the likely need for substantial case management, the Commonwealth contends that determination of this matter by the BLS is appropriate.


* A Special Tracking Order shall be created by the Presiding Justice of the Business Litigation Session at the Rule 16 Conference.

PLEASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE NUMBER, NAME AND COUNTY, ANY RELATED ACTION PENDING IN THE SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT.


"I hereby certify that I have complied with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Supreme Judical Court Uniform Rules on Dispute Resolution (SJC Rule 1:18) requiring that I provide my clients with information about court-connected dispute resolution services and discuss with them the advantages and disadvantages of the various methods."

DATE: December 1, 2011

IS PPSR THE NEW UCC-1?




Around the middle of 2011, I noticed an advertisement offering free seminars for something called the PPRS. After realising that it was about proposed reforms to security interests, I decided to book in and attend. It was all done quite quietly, and what I suspected was that this was to become Australia's version of the UCC-1 filing statement that a lot of people have talked about and used over the years in the freedom movement. For those of you who haven't heard of the UCC-1 financing statement (Uniform Commercial Code) it is a legal form in the US that a creditor files to give notice that it has an interest in the personal property of a debtor. As an example, here's an interesting UCC filing I found recently for something called the Defacto State of Hawaii Inc.



Of course we do not have the UCC here, so I was interested to see what this was all about. There were very few attendants, around 12 in total I think at the seminar I went to, and they consisted mainly of real estate brokers, business people and commercial debt buyers. I was the only odd one out, but I was the one with all the questions.

From what I could gather, this was to be a one-stop securities register, that would encompass all the other registers including motor vehicles, and that there would also be a number of new fees involved in this process. Having just visited their website www.ppsr.gov.au, it claims to be "an online register of all personal property that has security interests registered against it."

ABS AND CENSUS AUTHORITY



The Census (from the Latin word *censere* ("to estimate") was conducted by a magistrate called the Censor, who kept a list of all adult males fit for military service. The infamous Domesday Book was undertaken in 1086 by William I of England and was the record of the great survey of much of England and parts of Wales that was completed in 1086 so that he could properly tax the land he had recently conquered in medieval Europe.

Recently as you know, we have all been approached to fill out the highly invasive and annoying ABS Census forms which happens every five years. Fortunately I have managed to avoid these for the past 25 years by either moving house or not having an address at the time when I knew they were due.

This time around was a little different, and although we live in a rural property with no mail delivery and no landline, no mailbox and a no trespass sign on the gate, we still had documents jammed in our gate threatening legal action if we did not fill out the forms.

I called the collectors number on the forms, and advised him that we were interstate, and that normally we just caretake the property for the owner who lives elsewhere. I also advised very carefully that "there are no PERSONS living at that address". How could there be? They are all artificial legal entities that are essentially dead.

Out of interest, I opened the Census anyway and had a read through to see what they wanted to know. I was surprised to find that all the questions were written in third person English. The form is asking you (the living being) about the PERSON.

For example, "Is the PERSON married?" Now imagine for a moment that one of your friends is asking you that question. It would be a very strange conversation indeed.

Obviously they are talking about something else. That something else is your Strawman, or your legal identity, and they want to know what its "status" is. They are not asking you if YOU are married...they know that they cannot speak directly to the PERSON, as it is an artificial legal construct, so they need you to inform them about it's activities. That's one reason why parents are listed as the "informants" on a Birth Certificate Application.

3	Is the person male or female? Mark one box for each person, like this:	Male NEITHER	Male Female
Neit	ther. The PERSON is an artificial legal entity	and has no gender or phy	sical characteristics.
	What is the person's date of birth (or age last birthday)? Day Month Year Example for date of birth: 2 3 0 5 1 9 7 0 If date of birth not known, give age last birthday. Example for age last birthday: 4 1 Years	Day Month Year UNKNOWN OR Age last birthday Years	OR Age last birthday Years
oirt	nough the creation date of the PERSON is gen th of the child, the delay in registration would ty could be months afterwards, and is there	d mean the actual creatio	
6	What is the person's present marital status? • "Married" refers to registered marriages. • Remember to mark box like this: —	Never married PAPER Widowed PAPER Divorced ENJOII Separated but not divorced Married	Never married Widowed Direct Separated but not divorced Married
-	paper, the artificial legal entity is enjoined wi cractual relationship, but neither can perform	_	-
 Where does the person usually live? For persons who usually live in another country and who are visiting Australia for less than one year, mark 'Other country'. For other persons, 'usually live' means that address at which the person has lived or intends to live for a total of six months or more in 2011. For persons who now have no usual address, write 'NONE' in the 'Suburb/Locality' box. For boarders at boarding school, write the address of the boarding school or college. Remember to mark box like this: — 		The address shown on the front of this form Elsewhere in Australia – please specify address Apartment/Flat/Unit number (if any) Street number	The address shown on the front of this form Elsewhere in Australia – please specify address Apartment/Flat/Unit number (if any Street number
		NON-LIVING A	

The PERSON exists in several locations unknown to me including government records offices, computer storage media, and evidence of its existance may be found in my filing cabinet.

I decided to call the legal department of the ABS and ask them a few questions about the nature of this survey. You would be surprised that none of the people I spoke to knew much about the underlying premise of their authority and activities, they just blindly follow the policy.

I think that with all of the legal constructs that we find ourselves involved with today, including courts, there is one undermining premise you can question. I asked a representative at the ABS if the PERSON they were referring to in their Census forms was considered a "legal entity". After some checking and consultation from their legal department, I was advised the answer was… "YES."

So my next obvious question, and the one that really changes everything is:

"Could you please show me the law that compels me to either be, or to create a legal entity?"

There is none, however, you must consider enjoinder. That is to say that somewhere along the line most of us, including myself in my earlier years, have made applications, registered a vehicle, got a drivers licence, etc. The legal entity exists somewhere, but it is a presumption of law that we are all automatically legal entities, which we are not.

The proof of this separation of status comes all the time, if you have ever sent out a letter to a Bank asking them to provide evidence of where the original loan documents are, you will most likely will get a reply stating it has no "legal" effect. That is because, as I demonstrated in book one, a lawful letter may not be legal, but it is certainly not illegal either.

Only things that have been legalised have been given the force of statute law and the assumption is that statute law is common law or the law of nature. That is where the Policy Enforcers cross the line and use the members of their "Police Force" to force you to comply with the Policy of the private corporation, known as Commonwealth of Australia.



Note: For those outside Australia, the slogan on the front of the car that says "Keeping SA safe" refers to the State of South Australia. They are the Policy Enforcers of the State, keeping it safe from people like us. What they are really protecting is the system, which as you can see by their checkerboard decorations, is obviously a display of their Masonic connections and symbolism, hidden in plain sight and taken for granted.

LETTER TO THE ABS

Sue Palethorpe National Information & Referral Service Australian Bureau of Statistics

Dear Ms Palethorpe,

I was wondering if the "person" that is being referred to in the Census Questionnaire, is considered to be a "legal entity" by your definition, or not?

Best Thomas Anderson

REPLY FROM THE ABS

Dear Mr Anderson,

The purpose of the census is to measure the number and key characteristics of persons and dwellings in Australia on census night.

This provides a reliable basis to estimate the population for each state and territory and local government area for electoral purposes and distribution of government funds. The census also provides the characteristics of the Australian population and its housing for small areas and small population groups to support the planning, administration and policy development activities of governments, business and other users.

So, in response to your question, the Census is a snapshot of the population and is not about "legal entities", so a "person" is definitely not considered a "legal entity".

I hope the above answers your question.

Regards, Sue Palethorpe National Information & Referral Service Australian Bureau of Statistics **Note:** PERSONS are legal entities, whether they are natural persons (derived from a living being) or artificial persons (corporate soles). If they are "registered", then they are obviously a legal entity. The deciding factor in this argument, which proves that the reply below is false, is the simple question of ABS Authority. Obviously someone who is not under the Authority of the Commonwealth of Australia Government does not need to fill out the forms, so therefore, only a person who is registered by either Birth Certificate, Citizenship or otherwise, is liable to do so, and Government Identification can and will only ever be issued in the name of a legal entity (your strawman).

I expect that Sue does not even realise she has provided me with false and misleading information. I plan to personally follow this one through and prove she is wrong.

FINAL REPLY FROM THE ABS

Dear Mr Anderson,

Thank you for your recent query. I have checked with the responsible area and they have provided the below as the **standard ABS response to such enquiries.**

I understand that you are enquiring about the nature of the ABS' powers under the Census and Statistics Act 1905 and whether the" person" being referenced to in the Census is a legal entity or living being.

The Census and Statistics Act 1905 outlines a number of obligations for persons and does not refer to legal entities at all.


The legislative definition of person can be found in the Acts Interpretation Act 1901, which describes a person as a "natural person".

As a result of this, all natural persons are encompassed by the Census and Statistics Act 1905 and are required to "fill up and supply...the particulars specified in the (Census) form" under section 10(c) of the Act.

For your reference, please find the links for both Acts below: http://www.comlaw.gov.au/Details/C2006C00178
http://www.comlaw.gov.au/Details/C2011C00486
If you have any further queries, please feel free to write to the

Complaints Review Officer
Strategic Liaison & Risk Management Section
Australian Bureau of Statistics
Locked Bag 10
Belconnen ACT 2616
Regards,
Sue Palethorpe
National Information & Referral Service
Australian Bureau of Statistics

THE HOLLERITH MACHINE




Herman Hollerith was a German American who first automated US census information in the late 19th century and founded the company that became IBM. Edwin Black made headlines in 2001 when his painstakingly researched book, *IBM and the Holocaust*, showed that IBM machines were used to automate the "Final Solution" and the takeover of Europe. A year and a half later, the author made another startling discovery. IBM equipment was on-site at the Auschwitz concentration camp, and the Hollerith numbers that were assigned to inmates had evolved into the tattooed numbers so symbolic of the Nazi era.

Hollerith's name became synonymous with the machines and the Nazi departments that operated them. Prisoners arrived at Auschwitz and were apparently assigned a five-digit IBM Hollerith number. The number was part of a custom punch-card system devised by IBM to track prisoners in all Nazi concentration camps, including the slave labor at Auschwitz. Interestingly, the numbers only go as high as 157,600 which is substantially less that we are led to believe. I won't go into any further debate here about what did or didn't happen in germany, but there is a very interesting website that you might like to explore, which challenges the story of the most famous survivor, Elie Wiesel. You can find it at www.eliewieseltattoo.com



BIRTH CERTIFICATES VS MEDICARE



I have a regular client that comes to me now and then with requests for assistance, and one of those has been to overcome the need to supply a Birth Certificate when applying for Government benefits such as Medicare.

There are many of you who have also enquired about this process, and in the end it turned out to be quite simple, although you will run up against some staff that just quote policy and say it's not possible.

This particular man and his family have two children that they did not want to sign over and register as legal entities to the Corporation known as COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA, whose details as found on the United States Securities Exchange Commission are as follows:

```
COMPANY DATA:
        COMPANY CONFORMED NAME:
                                                 COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA
        CENTRAL INDEX KEY:
                                                 0000805157
        STANDARD INDUSTRIAL CLASSIFICATION:
                                                 UNKNOWN SIC - 8880 [8880]
        IRS NUMBER:
                                                 000000000
        FISCAL YEAR END:
                                                 0630
FILING VALUES:
        FORM TYPE:
                                EFFECT
        SEC ACT:
                                1933 Act
        SEC FILE NUMBER:
                                 333-163307
                                11991504
        FILM NUMBER:
BUSINESS ADDRESS:
        STREET 1:
                                 1601 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW
        STREET 2:
                                 C/O AUSTRALIAN EMBASSY
        CITY:
                                 WASHINGTON
                                 DC
        STATE:
                                 20036
        ZIP:
```

It is surprising however, that when you speak simple truths, and do not mix in all sorts of beliefs and trivia along the way, you can succeed, as we did.

I can confirm (as I have seen the actual Medicare cards myself) that they received their approval about a week after providing the information requested. This consisted simply of a letter from an attending doctor stating that a child was born, a copy of the Sovereign Birth Commemoration signed by the parents and witnessed by a JP, and of course to fill out the standard application form.

No Birth Certificate was provided and the following is the actual correspondence.





Our Reference:

Mr

Dear Mr

Re: Documentation needed to enrol your baby in Medicare

Thank you for applying to enrol your baby in Medicare. To process your application, we need you to provide further identification information for your baby as indicated below.

Identification documentation can include:

- birth certificate or certified extract
- passport or naturalization certificate
- adoption papers (where migration status known)
- documents issued by the Department of Immigration and Multicultural Affairs (DIAC)
- Centrelink records which verify the child's birth.

×

letter (on an official letterhead) from the doctor who attended the birth that verifies: mothers full name, and date of birth and place of birth.

Please note that the statutory declaration enclosed must be completed and signed by your childs mother.

Please submit a <u>certified copy</u> of one of the above documentation along with the enclosed Medicare Enrolment application form.

A reply paid envelope has been enclosed for you to return all relevant documentation.

Yours sincerely

Service Officer Medicare

SA/NT State Office GPO Box 9822 Adelaide SA 5001 Phone: 132 011 Fax: 08 8274 9371 www.medicareaustralia.gov.au


It's interesting that they have highlighted the two options that they prefer, which gave us an alternative to providing a Birth Certificate.

Attn: Medical Records / Freedom of Information Dear We seek your assistance in relation to a formal request by Medicare to obtain a letter from an attending doctor that was present at the birth of our daughter. The primary reason for the request is to finalise the application for inclusion on our Medicare Card of the legal name that will be generated to represent her within the system. Medicare is already aware that we do not have an Australian Birth Certificate for personal and/or religious reasons. We do however have a lawful Commemoration of Birth Certificate witnessed by a JP and signed by my wife and myself. We do not consent to the Registration of our daughter to the corporation known as COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA, (CIK#0000805157) which is listed on the US Securities Exchange Commission's EDGAR database and appears in the company search with it's registered business address at 601 MASSACHUSETTS AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036. The legal representative of the COA, Mr Adrian Deitz, has confirmed that it is not a country, physical location, landmass or place, and therefore it is impossible for our daughter to have been born on it, as it is merely an artificial legal entity or paper corporation. REGISTRATION Regis means "King", and the two suffixes are as follows: Tra means instrument or "Tool" and Tion means "process" or way of doing something. Registration (Regis-Tra-Tion) therefore translates roughly as "The King's Processing Tool". We have spoken at length with the Head Registrar in Australia, who referred the matter to the Crown Solicitor nearly 3 years ago, and in that time they have never provided any substantiation or correspondence in opposition to the reservations we have outlined above. Thankyou very much for your assistance. Kind Regards

All along I was thinking to myself, that they will probably not want to get into a debate over these facts, and that they will probably just remain silent and send the card without any explanation or letter. That's exactly what happened.

I'm going to switch subjects now as I want to re-open the file on one of the most famous conspiracy theories of all time. Perhaps we'll soon have some answers, as 2013 marks the 50th anniversary, and therefore the freedom of speech archives should become available to the public. Until then however, here's something to think about.

OSWALD REVISITED



Take a good look at this picture. What do you see?


This unique and revealing photograph was taken just seconds before Kennedy was shot and killed in Dallas. What is interesting about this photo is that it appears to show Lee Harvey Oswald standing in the doorway of the Texas Schoolbook Depository, and not where we are told he was, which is on the 6th floor of that building at that very same moment. Notice also that two of the agents in the cars seem to be looking back, directly at him.

The whole basis for the argument that this is not Oswald, but rather another man called Billy Lovelady, has always hinged on one thing. The shirt he is wearing.

Fortunately we have better technology these days, and I asked a friend of mine who does all my photoshop work to take a look at this image. He is an expert in his field, and is able to tell fake from real by looking at images at a pixel level, examining differences in lighting and other anomalies. He has debunked most if not all of the giant skeleton photos doing the rounds on the internet, as well as videos which proclaim to show evidence of cities on the moon. (They were in fact enlarged video artifacts caused by poor resolution from digital equipment.)

He tells me that the apparent striping on doorway man's shirt is not part of the pattern on the shirt itself, but rather a partly obscured Negro man standing in front of him waving. His dark arm appears blotchy, perhaps from the shadows of the tree (note the angle of shadows on the ground).

However it is definitely not part of the pattern of the shirt, which appears to be plain and dark, consistent with the one Oswald is wearing, and nothing like the striped shirt that Lovelady was wearing when questioned and photographed. Let's take a closer look at this image and you can decide for yourself.






Once you zoom in and take your attention off the man in the doorway it becomes clear that there are many Negro men and women standing in that area.

The outline of the Negro mans face, and his arm waving is now more easily recognisable as being directly in front and below the man standing in the doorway, consistent with the position on the steps.

Therefore the whole argument that this is Lovelady, based upon these supposed blotches on his shirt is obviously false.



This is how Oswald appeared to the press, wearing the same long sleeve shirt, open at the front with a white T-Shirt underneath. The pattern is subtle and uniform and the general tone of the shirt is much darker.

Now compare it with the photo of Billy Lovelady, the man the CIA claim was actually standing in the doorway. It's as though they have unbuttoned his shirt purposely to try and make it look similar to what Oswald is wearing.



STRANGE CO-INCIDENCES



You may have already seen these strange connections between these two men, but I thought I would included it here in case you haven't. I haven't personally verified all of these things, but if they are indeed true, you really have to wonder if there really is anything such as chance.

Abraham Lincoln was elected to Congress in 1846, John F. Kennedy was elected to Congress in 1946.

Abraham Lincoln was elected President in 1860. John F. Kennedy was elected President in 1960. Both were particularly concerned with civil rights.

Both wives lost their children while living in the White House. Both Presidents were shot on a Friday. Both Presidents were shot in the head.

Lincoln's secretary was named Kennedy. Kennedy's Secretary was named Lincoln. Both were succeeded by Southerners named Johnson.

Andrew Johnson, who succeeded Lincoln, was born in 1808. Lyndon Johnson, who succeeded Kennedy, was born in 1908.

John Wilkes Booth, was born in 1839, and Lee Harvey Oswald, was born in 1939. Both were known by their three names and both names are composed of fifteen letters.

Lincoln was shot at a theater named Ford. Kennedy was shot in a car named Lincoln made by Ford. Lincoln was shot in a theater and Booth hid in a warehouse. Kennedy was shot from a warehouse and Oswald hid in a theater.

Booth and Oswald were both assassinated before their trials.

A week before Lincoln was shot, he was in Monroe, Maryland. A week before Kennedy was shot, he was with Marilyn Monroe.

CLOUDBUSTING

I wanted to show you some of the hundreds of photos I have personally taken of what appear to be Chemical spray (Chemtrail) lines over Adelaide, South Australia. Sometimes we have seen as many as eight of these lines in parallel rows, stretching from horizon to horizon. We have observed strange fast moving jets coming in across the gulf, then as they reach land they suddenly turn skyward and dump their load, until they disappear far above normal passenger airline operating space.

A friend and I witnessed this happen recently, along with another man in the carpark where we were standing. I really wished I had a video camera that day as it was quite an amazing thing to see. He commented to us that it was quite bizarre and didn't make any sense. We watched this super-fast jet streak skyward at about 45 degrees over our heads until it literally disappeared into the atmosphere.


I have been fortunate however to capture images of passenger planes flying either through, or very close to these lines at the exact same time they are being sprayed. No contrails are visible at all from the passenger aircraft that you can see in this photo, but the strange white fast moving jets have pouring out a huge steady white trail behind them.



We've had a lot of these spray lines in Adelaide recently, and all our family members have had persistent coughs for months that nothing seems to get rid of. For over three months now we have all been coughing up thick sticky stuff with the consistency of clag glue, and with all the medicines, antibiotics and herbal remedies under the sun, it is still very hard to breathe clearly.

The other day I finally managed to locate my zoom lens and took a close up photo of the consistency of the chemtrail line itself. They always look the same, sort of heavy clumpy patches which droop and sag and then slowly spreads out in the wind over a couple of hours to form what I call "chemclouds". Normal contrails dissipate within 30 minutes, but these lines can last for hours.

The following pages show a few of the photos from that day.









Version No. 014

Rain-making Control Act 1967

No. 7637 of 1967


Version incorporating amendments as at <u>8 February 2008</u>

TABLE OF PROVISIONS

	Section		Page
	1	Short title and commencement	1
	2	Definitions	1
	3	Authority to carry out rain-making operations	1
	4	Authority to make arrangements	2
	5	Form and content of authority	2
	6	Consultation of Ministers	3
	7	Duration of authority	3
	8	Cloud-seeding in Victoria to induce rainfall in another State	3
	9	Offence to carry out unauthorised rain-making operations	3
	10	Certificate to be evidence	4
	11	Minister may order discontinuance of unauthorised operations	4
	12	No liability in respect of authorized operations	4
	13	Reports on rain-making operations	5
	14	Construction of references to rain etc.	6
	15	Regulations	6
		iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	
ENDNOTES			8
	1. General Information		8
	2. Tabl	e of Amendments	9
	3. Exp	lanatory Details	10

i

I recently discovered there was an experimental ionisation emitter installed not far from us, known as ATLANT. It was situated 44km south-south west of Adelaide, approximately 7km inland on the ridge of the Mount Lofty Ranges.



The ATLANT ion-emitting device consists of a high voltage generator connected to a large network of thin metal wires, supported on a framework with a series of pyramids on top. It consumes about 500W of power and generates voltages of 70kV.

Attachment 3: Final Report – workshop on cloud seeding

Hosted by the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) and the Sydney Catchment Authority (SCA)

Wednesday 31st January 2007 Department of Environment and Conservation, Sydney

Introduction

A one-day workshop was held to review the current state of knowledge on cloud seeding for rainfall enhancement, and the role of aerosols and air pollution on precipitation. The workshop was jointly hosted by the NSW Department of Environment and Conservation (DEC) and the Sydney Catchment Authority (SCA), and independently facilitated by Professor Gary Jones, CEO of the eWater Cooperative Research Centre. Nineteen scientists and senior managers (see appendix 1) participated in the workshop, with formal presentations given by Neville Fletcher (Australian National University), Michael Manton (Monash University, Victoria), Roger Stone (Queensland Climate Centre), Greg Ayers (CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research) and Keith Bigg (The Rainmaker Team). Participants discussed key issues relating to the scientific evidence for the effectiveness of cloud seeding. Participants briefly reviewed the experience of cloud seeding activities in Australia, current and planned cloud seeding projects and the effect of pollutants on precipitation. The following summary presents a consensus position of attendees at the workshop, expressed as questions and answers.

Surprisingly, there is quite a lot if information available on the subject of weather modification in Australia, which we rarely hear about. There is even a Weather Modification Association which can be found at: www.weathermodification.org

rise while the final 4.3km distance travelling east is a steeper 12.3% rise for 2.1km and the last 200m a very steep 21.7%. Typically, a moist marine onshore airflow from the west rises as it approaches the Atlant site due to orographic lifting.

In a previous trial, the University of Queensland estimated the probable area of influence of a single Atlant emitter to be within a range of 50-100km from the Atlant site, depending on the meteorological situation (Keller et al. 2007). However, prior to this trial the potential downwind extent of the Atlant footprint had not been statistically evaluated. Given the topography of the region, identifying an external control area would be difficult because the meteorological and topographic characteristics of neighboring areas are quite different from the trial area. When compared with the trial area, the land area to the north and east is relatively flat and dry, and the influence of offshore cold fronts on precipitation is not nearly as strong.




Figure 2. Land and water mass within a 100km radius about the trial site.

3.2 Trial Area Cloud

The incidence of cloud and rain in the trial area occur in association with frontal systems originating from low-pressure centres over ocean to the south of the trial area. On average they pass west to east approximately every 4-6 days during the trial period. Typically prefrontal altostratus moves in from the northwest and is replaced on the passage of the front by large cumulus degenerating to smaller cumulus coming from the west and southwest, stretching up to 200-300 km behind the boundary. The cumulus cloud bases average 500-1,000 meters with cloud top temperatures between 1 and -2°C. Eventually the weather clears from the west as the next high pressure system approaches. Freezing levels during August and September in

the Adelaide Hills region will be in the 2,000-2,500 meter range rising to 4,000 meters and above in November. Typically post-frontal clouds are formed in a maritime air stream, below the freezing level and as such rain formed predominantly by the coalescence process. Based on the working hypothesis for how Atlant may modify the rain process, it was thought that cumulus and stratocumulus behind the frontal boundary would be most suitable for enhancement. However, as the technology is cheap to operate and suitable operating conditions not well defined, the operating protocol (described in section 3.3) was purposefully set to be very wide ranging to encompass the majority of cloud types including pre-frontal deep stratiform clouds which extend above the freezing level and likely produce rain by an ice-nucleation mechanism.

3.3 Operating Schedule


The trial ran for four months, from 9am on 1 August 2008 to 9am 1 December 2008, and was subject to an operating protocol. In particular, the operation of the Atlant technology was controlled by a team of meteorologists following a pre-described set of guidelines, which specified the meteorological parameters under which the Atlant system would be switched on. The main parameter for operation was forecast or observed significant cloud cover within the trial area (cloud depth of greater than 1km at any level in the atmosphere). The Atlant was operated three hours prior to the development or arrival of significant cloud cover within the trial area to ensure the Atlant-produced ions had sufficient time to disperse throughout the trial area through natural processes (wind, turbulence and convection). In some circumstances this lead-time was shorter than anticipated due to more rapid cloud development (on the order of 30 minutes). Significant cloud cover was typically inferred from model forecasts of wind, stability and moisture profiles as well as weather observations. Actual vertical profiles of the atmosphere taken at Adelaide Airport provided by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) were used as a verification tool, as well as remotely sensed data from satellites. Operation of Atlant continued for a period of two hours after cloud had dissipated from the trial area. In the non-operating times, necessary system maintenance was conducted. Under some circumstances planned operation was not possible due to severe weather conditions or when the system was inoperable due to technical faults and damage.

3.4 Rainfall Data

The BOM maintains an extensive rain gauge and weather station network within the trial area. There were 159 BOM gauges that reported data during the

SCIENTIFIC PAPERS -

The device and it's description reminded me of the famous orgone Cloudbuster device that Wilhelm Reich built and was testing, which featured in the 1980's video clip "Cloudbusting" by Kate Bush, and featuring Donald Sutherland as Wilhelm Reich. For those of you interested in his theories and research, I would recommend "A book of Dreams" by Peter Reich which is also featured in the video clip.



I decided to go in search of government spraying and weather modification programs to see what I could turn up, after all it is something that is obviously never mentioned in the news or weather reports. I was surprised at just how much of this stuff goes on around the world that I never knew about.



The DOE Context for TAP

PM 2.5 particles . . .

66 May pose respiratory problems for certain portions of the population, and for this Administration, there is no higher priority than protecting the health of our citizens . . .

AOS - ARM

The aerosol observing system (AOS) is the primary Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) platform for in situ aerosol measurements at the surface. The principal measurements are those of the aerosol absorption and scattering coefficients as a function of the particle size and radiation wavelength. Additional measurements include those of the particle number concentration, size distribution, hygroscopic growth, and inorganic chemical composition. The AOS measures aerosol optical properties to better understand how particles interact with solar radiation and influence the earth's radiation balance.

TWO COLUMN AEROSOL PROJECT (TCAP)

The Two Column Aerosol Program (TCAP) is "designed to provide a detailed set of observations with which to perform radiative and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) closure studies, evaluate a new retrieval algorithm for aerosol optical depth (AOD) in the presence of clouds using passive remote sensing, extend a previously developed technique to investigate aerosol indirect effects, and evaluate the performance of a detailed regional-scale model and a more parameterized global-scale model in simulating particle activation and AOD associated with the aging of anthropogenic aerosols."

CLOUD AND AEROSOL RESEARCH GROUP (CARG)

Atmospheric Chemistry and Aerosols: These studies revolve around the unique data sets acquired by the CARG with its research aircraft, particularly those data obtained during the period 1999-2001 in FIRE-ACE/SHEBA, KWAJEX, SAFARI-2000 and CLAMS. The main goals of these studies include: determination of the origin and nature of aerosols and trace gases in different locales (e.g., the Arctic, the remote Pacific Ocean, Southern Africa, and the U.S.A.); and, evaluation of the effects of aerosols and trace gases on local, regional and global air quality and climate. Recent CARG publications, listed under each of the projects referred to above, provide detailed information on these studies.

INDIRECT AND SEMI-DIRECT AEROSOL CAMPAIGN (ISDAC)

An intensive cloud and aerosol observing system used during the Indirect and Semi-Direct Aerosol Campaign (ISDAC) at the ACRF North Slope of Alaska locale in April 2008.



Pictured above is a typical cloud seeding light aircraft, which is very different from the large scale jet planes that we have witnessed over Adelaide.

The image below is a one sample taken from aerosol particles showing some of the chemical composition. Note the "tar ball". I'm not a scientist, but I'm sure you can refer to a periodic table to work out what other elements are shown here.




Figure 4.17. Examples of aerosol particles collected on stage 2 on 23 January. The black arrows show the element contents of the particles.




Figure 2.2. Map of all flight tracks for WXMOD (in season one).




Figure 2.3. Map of all SEEDA1 flight tracks (in season one).

If any of you personally experienced the devastating floods in Queensland, you might be interested to know that the graphs on the previous page come from a report on cloud seeding over the state. It could be quite feasible that the massive downpour that caused the overflow of the dams, could have been a result of this or similar experiments that produced far better results than intended.

Final Report on

Southeast Queensland Cloud Seeding Research Program

Sarah Tessendorf, Roelof Bruintjes, Jim Wilson, Rita Roberts, Ed Brandes, Charlie Knight, Mike Dixon, Matt Pocernich, Kyoko Ikeda, Courtney Arnold, Duncan Axisa, Bill Hall, Evelyn Freney, Peter R. Buseck, Erin Towler, Peter May, Justin Peter, Louise Wilson, Michael Manton, Steve Siems, Scott Collis, Harald Richter, Acacia Pepler, Ian Craig, Roger Stone, Stuart Piketh, Roelof Burger, and Don Collins

Research Applications Laboratory



National Center for Atmospheric Research

2009-10-30

Report prepared by NCAR for the State Government of Queensland

For those of you interested in more research on the topic, I also found a great website with some great photos of spray trails here in Australia:

www.chemtrailaustraliainternational.com/picture-gallery.php

There really is a lot of information available out there on the internet and all you need to do is punch in the right search terms. But let's move on to the final area of discussion, which is probably the most important right now, seeing as we are not far away from one of the most talked about date in History. The 2012 phenomenon and what lies ahead for us this year.

ZION & THE OLYMPIC DEVIL MASCOT



Creepy, weird and disturbing, are three words that come to mind when I look at the mascot for the 2012 London Games. There is so much strange symbolism and wording in this character design that I had to mention it here.

Now in my past books I've showed the 2012 Zionist logo and explored the 144,000 new homes being built. However, my son was recently given one of these Mascots as a gift, and I can tell you that they are even stranger to look at in real life.

The very first thing that hit me was the name. It sounds more like Man-Devil, or Mandible, and if you look at its hands they are very sharp and knife-like. Secondly, the character has one eye, like the All-Seeing Eye on the dollar bill and in posters around London. If you take out the word devil, it stands alone perfectly with the flame on top of it.

If you have an actual mascot keyring like we do, if you turn it over it has three very pointy protrusions that look just like the fangs (mandibles) of a spider or other predatory creature. And of course, it has the obligatory ZION logo on it, along with the Union of Jacob Flag. Iran has already threatened to boycott the London Olympics unless the organisers replace the official logo, which Tehran claims spells out the word "Zion", which the Iranian government says represents a veiled pro-Israeli conspiracy.

Prince William will turn thirty years of age on the Summer Solstice this year, just five weeks before the Olympic events in London get underway on July 27th. The Queen also celebrates her Diamond (60th) Jubilee in June. It remains to be seen if this culmination of events will mean the Queen will step down, and William crowned as the new King of Zion (The Davidic bloodline of Israel).

It seems like only yesterday when I was visiting a friend who had just purchased a 28,800bps modem and upgraded his computer to 16mb of RAM. We were talking about the possibility of one day having a 1gig hard drive, when suddenly the phone rang. Another friend of ours told us to turn on the TV as Diana had just been killed.

I am sitting here at my dook today in october. I unjujus po someone to huy me of encourage me to keep strang o held my head high This particular phase in my life is the most occuperous - my husband is pacining "air accident" un my, car. brake failure o soions head inous in order to make the path clear for hum to maring 11983. Caniela is rolling at a decoy.

This ominous handwritten letter from Princess Diana warned that Prince Charles was planning an accident involving a car. It also claims that Camilla was just a decoy, as his real desire was to marry William and Harrys's nanny Tiggy Legge-Bourke.

The full text of the letter reads:

"I am sitting at my desk today longing for someone to hug me and encourage me to keep strong and hold my head high. This particular phase in my life is the most dangerous. My husband is planning an accident in my car, brake failure or some serious head injury in order to make the path clear for him to marry Tiggy. Camilla is nothing more than a decoy so we are being used by the man in every sense of the word."

COSMIC TOP SECRET



It's incredible to think that we are actually here in 2012, after what seems like such a long and much anticipated wait. I've lost track of how many books and theories I've read over the years about this topic, but it is of utmost importance now because it's upon us.

I've tried to keep a fairly open mind throughout my analysis of the phenomenon and stick to the facts, or at least what I would consider to be overwhelming factual evidence.

One thing that I can be certain of, is that all of the people who are telling us that nothing will happen, are ignoring the fact that governments around the world have been preparing for a doomsday scenario in 2012 for years, and have built seed vaults, underground shelters, and ordered millions of MRE ration packs for just such an event, whatever it may be.

I recently watch a DVD called "Revelations of the Pyramid" which was a very well researched documentary into the alignment of the major ancient archaeological sites including Easter Island, Nazca and Peru. The conclusion that was drawn, is one that seemed remarkable to me, that all of these sites were located on a one-kilometre wide band that circles the Earth, approximately thirty degrees off horizontal axis.

If, in the past there has been a shift on the earth's axis through some sort of event, then it seems consistent that those sites were once located around the equator. To support that theory, there is another documentary titled "The Horizon Project" which mentions that in some areas the magnetic alignment of cooling magma has changed suggesting a tilt in the Earths axis. The things that I think are important to keep in mind also are the many ancient texts and stories which tell of these events occurring, such as the sun rising then falling in the sky, or collapsed sky conditions, or water rising up on the lands after a great movement of the Earth etc. However there are those who say we shouldn't be worried about 2012 at all, and suggest we are all just religious crackpots.

Humans may, one day in the distant future, go out with a bang or a whimper, but it won't be this year TORY SHEPHERD STRAYING FROM THE FLOCK

IS the season for many predictions. Here's mine: the world will not end. Earth will not be ripped apart by titanic tectonic shifts, swallowed by a black hole, or smashed to smithereens by another planet.

Doomsday prophet Harold Camping had to crawl back into his shell after two failed predictions of the world's end last year. This year there's a broader belief that the end is nigh. This too will prove false.

The "2012 phenomenon" is a meme, an idea that has spread across the world, gathering layers of bulls..t as it goes. It was born from a murky misunderstanding of an ancient Mayan calendar.

December 21, 2012, is the last date on the Mayan long-count calendar, an ancient calendar that worked in cycles of more than 5000 years. People whose heads have been filled with woo woo have then connected that date with various doomsday scenarios. These include the belief that a planet called Nibiru (or, less imaginatively, planet X) will smash into Earth, that a comet will hit us, that the North and South Poles will flip positions, that we'll all die in a solar storm, a super volcano, a black hole, or some sort of planetary conjunction.



2012: A wall of water wipes out Sydney in this scenario.

Picture: Sony Home Entertainment

The Advertiser Newspaper in Adelaide was very quick to publish two separate threequarter page articles in the same week, assuring us that everything is going to be okay.

I wonder if either of these two writers have ever done any actual research on the topic, or looked at anything other than Google for their sources of information?




HAT'S that. We've survived another Christmas Day. But it could be our last. It's a pity, really.

Ancient and long-extinct civilisations, religious crackpots and endof-the-world converts are predicting the world will be obliterated on December 21, 2012. It's a Friday, so arrange a rostered day off.

arrange a rostered day off.

According to the long-gone Mayans, a southern Mexican civilisation, the solar system will fall into alignment with the Milky Way, triggering all sorts of earthly horrors like earthquakes, volcanoes, cyclones and tidal waves.

But alternatively it could be that a mysterious planet, somehow named Nibiru, is heading directly for Earth and will hit us smack-bang in the middle on December 21 next year.





2012: Beginning of the End or Why the World Won't End?

Remember the Y2K scare? It came and went without much of a whimper because of adequate planning and analysis of the situation. Impressive movie special effects aside, Dec. 21, 2012, won't be the end of the world as we know. It will, however, be another winter solstice.

Much like Y2K, 2012 has been analyzed and the science of the end of the Earth thoroughly studied. Contrary to some of the common beliefs out there, the science behind the end of the world quickly unravels when pinned down to the 2012 timeline. Below, NASA Scientists answer several questions that we're frequently asked regarding 2012.

Question (Q): Are there any threats to the Earth in 2012? Many Internet websites say the world will end in December 2012.

Answer (A): Nothing bad will happen to the Earth in 2012. Our planet has been getting along just fine for more than 4 billion years, and credible scientists worldwide know of no threat associated with 2012.

Q: What is the origin of the prediction that the world will end in 2012?
A: The story started with claims that Nibiru, a supposed planet discovered by the Sumerians, is headed toward Earth. This catastrophe was initially predicted for May 2003, but when nothing happened the doomsday date was moved forward to December 2012. Then these two fables were linked to the end of one of the



Scenes from the motion picture "2012." Courtesy Columbia Pictures.

cycles in the ancient Mayan calendar at the winter solstice in 2012 -- hence the predicted doomsday date of December 21, 2012.

Q: Does the Mayan calendar end in December 2012?

A: Just as the calendar you have on your kitchen wall does not cease to exist after December 31, the Mayan calendar does not cease to exist on December 21, 2012. This date is the end of the Mayan long-count period but then -- just as your calendar begins again on January 1 -- another long-count period begins for the Mayan calendar.

Q: Could phenomena occur where planets align in a way that impacts Earth?

A: There are no planetary alignments in the next few decades, Earth will not cross the galactic plane in 2012, and even if these alignments were to occur, their effects on the Earth would be negligible. Each December the Earth and sun align with the approximate center of the Milky Way Galaxy but that is an annual event of no consequence.

Phew, I feel much safer now that NASA has told me everything is going to be okay. But why then are the governments around the world building all these deep underground bases, ordering millions of packs of MRE's (meal-ready to eat) and building doomsday bunkers for seed vaults, all to be ready for 2012?

E. C. Krupp, the Director of Griffith Observatory in Los Angeles recently stated:

"The year 2012 is acting like a badly behaved celebrity. Frightful rumors and gossip are spreading. Already more than half a dozen books are marketing, to eager fans, astronomical fears about 2012 End Times. Anyone who cruises the Internet or all-night talk radio knows why. The ancient Maya of Mexico and Guatemala kept a calendar that is about to roll up the red carpet of time, swing the solar system into transcendental alignment with the heart of the Milky Way, and turn Earth into a bowling pin for a rogue planet heading down our alley for a strike. None of it is true."

One thing we cannot escape is the fact that we exist within a cyclic reality, one of birth and death, and also of beginnings and ends, followed by new beginnings. Much like the dragon chasing it's tale. We cannot slow down or get off this rock spinning through space, and we cannot alter it's course.

The fact is that we are at the end of a 26,000 year precessional cycle, wether we like it or not, wether we realise or not, and it doesn't even matter if you believe it or not.

Axial precession is the movement of the rotational axis of an astronomical body, whereby the axis slowly traces out a cone. In the case of Earth, this type of precession is also known as the *precession of the equinoxes* or *precession of the equator*.

The Earth goes through one complete precessional cycle in a period of approximately 26,000 years, during which the positions of the stars as measured in the equatorial coordinate system will slowly change.

To quote the technical details from an authority on the subject:

"The change is due to the change of the coordinates. Over this cycle, Earth's north axial pole moves from where it is now, within 1° of Polaris, in a circle around the ecliptic pole, with an angular radius of about 23.5 degrees (or approximately 23 degrees 27 arc minutes). The shift is 1 degree in 72 years, where the angle is taken from the observer, not from the center of the circle."

POLE SHIFT

A magnetic pole shift is one of the more common and accepted theories across the world, however, this is not to be confused with a geographic pole shift. Even though these events can occur at the same time, they have very different affects on Earth.


Scientists have now concluded that Earth's magnetic poles do flip 180 degrees in what's commonly called a pole shift. There are many theories as to the frequency of the shifts in magnetic poles which range anywhere from 10,000 years to almost 800,000 years.

The Earth Crust Displacement Theory of the late history professor Charles H. Hapgood states that the Earth's crust acts like a solid disk that can slide over the more liquid characteristics of the mantle (hot molten lava) under the crust. Although we consider Earth's crust to be an unmovable solid mass, in reality the crust is a rigid mass that is floating over the top of the more viscus magma under the crust.

In order for Hapgood's theory to be part of a magnetic pole shift event, one of two events must occur. First, Earth's crust slides over the mantle until it re-stabilizes after moving 180 degrees from its current position. This would basically flip the geographic positions of the Arctic and Antarctic while the mantle under the crust maintained it's current rotation. Second, Earth's crust remains stationary while the mantle slides underneath it and then re-stabilizes after moving 180 degrees from its current position. This would mean the geographic positions of continents would remain the same, however the spinning magma would have flipped over and now rotate in the opposite direction. If a magnetic pole shift were to occur rapidly, then Earth's crust would also reverse rotational directions rapidly. The result would be massive displacement of Earth's crust and oceans.

NORTH MAGNETIC POLE

SOUTH MAGNETIC POLE



In these graphs it can be seen that the North Magnetic Pole is moving towards Russia while the South Magnetic Pole is moving towards South America. Whether this is the beginning of a complete magnetic pole shift or not will likely be disputed until the event actually occurs, however, the above graph clearly shows the annual movement of the north magnetic pole to be increasing exponentially. In addition, the last year data has been made available on this pole movement is from the year 2005.

In the United States there is a great salt lake nearly 1000 km from the west coast, and there is also proof that buckling of Earth's crust has occurred as recently as 13,000 yrs ago.

GALACTIC SUPERWAVE THEORY

Paul A. Laviolette, Ph.D, is author of The Talk of the Galaxy, Earth Under Fire, Genesis of the Cosmos (Beyond the Big Bang), Subquantum Kinetics, and editor of A Systems View of Man.

He concluded that a volley of Galactic cosmic rays had bombarded the Earth and solar system toward the end of the last ice age (around 14,000 years ago).

His findings also suggested that other such superwaves had passed us at earlier times and were responsible for triggering the initiation and termination of the ice ages and mass extinctions. He was the first to suggest recurrent highly frequent cosmic ray bombardment of the Earth.

LaViolette discovered that the ancient star lore connected with the Sagittarius and Scorpius constellations indicated the location of the Galactic center, conveyed the idea of an explosive outburst, and specified a significant past date of 13,865 years B.C. (roughly the halfway point of the 26000 year precessional cycle) which is also encoded in the ancient Egyptian Dendereh zodiac (see next page).




He found that myths, customs and esoteric lore descendent from prehistoric times indicated that cosmic rays from a Galactic core explosion catastrophically affect the Earth and solar system in recurrent cycles with the most recent event occurring near the end of the last ice age.

There was evidence indicating that the largest acidity spike in the entire Antarctic ice core record was of extraterrestrial origin, possibly produced by a major incursion of interstellar or cometary dust.

The date of this event, beginning 13,880 B.C. and tailing off 13,785 B.C., closely corresponds to the date encoded in zodiac star lore marking the arrival of a galactic superwave.

The documentary "The Horizon Project" has some good research on this particular theory in connection with what might happen in 2012.

You can find it at: www.thehorizonproject.com



Consider for a moment that we live on a planet that exists within a tiny solar system, perched upon one of the arms of an enormous spiral galaxy, too large to comprehend, powered by a super-massive black hole at it's centre, and the whole thing is spinning around in space, flattened out like plate.

Believe it or not, the Sun and planets are hurtling through space around the Milky Way Galaxy at an incredible 500,000 miles per hour, and moves in a sine wave motion up and down, passing through this galactic plane every 26,000 years. To put things in perspective, one of the minute specs on this image represents our entire solar system, and there are billions of other galaxies like this out there.

You could make a comparison here with your bath at home, when you pull out the plug and watch the water spiral down the hole. It builds up a significant amount of speed in the process, almost identically to how a tornado or hurricane forms.

It has been theorised that when our central black hole consumes matter to achieve a certain mass, the outer mass layers begin to oscillate out of phase with the resonance of the incoming mass/energy and starts vibrating out of control.

When this happens, the black hole literally blows off some of its outer shell of matter and energy at or near it's event horizon. This expulsion or explosion expands across the galaxy at the speed of light and hits the earth in cycles.

The waves expand outward, like the affect a pebble causes when landing on the surface of a smooth pond, rippling outward. The effect on the Sun's magnetic field is that it would become highly energized. The wave would cause very violent solar particle ejections, which could hit earth. The result would be violent earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, hurricanes and tsunamis that could continue for weeks or months until the wave subsides. During that period, a lot of life would be lost.

There must have been an event, or events similar to this in the past to account for the sudden disappearance of entire cultures, races of creatures, cities and civilisations and technology. There are remnants that remain to this day which prove we had highly sophisticated knowledge in the past, which opposes the theory of evolution and progression from caveman to modern man. Let's explore a few of those things.

2012 - THE FINAL COUNTDOWN



Three centuries before the birth of Christ, the Mayans developed a calendar system which has been at the centre of all the end-time prophecies.

It calculates the end of our current age to be precisely on December 21, 2012, at 11.11am GMT. Their complex system uses the fourth-dimensional 13:20 matrix, and began with the thirteen Baktun count on August 13, 3113 BC, a timeframe connected to the planet Venus and ends at the closing of the Great Cycle on December 21, 2012.

The Mayans operated according to a 260-day cycle (13x20). They also used another calendar for recording time based on a 360-day (18x20) cycle known as the Long Count. The Long Count consisted of 13 cycles of 144,000 days each (or 5200 cycles of 360 days) totalling 5125 solar years known as a Great Cycle.

Currently, we are at the end of the fifth age which is supposed to bring about the closing of an ending of time, meaning the end of the age of civilization as we currently know it, and the beginning of a new one.

THE RETURN OF OSIRIS


In Egyptian legend, Osiris the Bull is said to return from the dead on December 21, 2012. The date of alignment as laid out on the Giza plateau occurs at almost precisely 2200 hours, 18 minutes, and approximately 13 seconds.

PACHACUTI

The Q'ero are the descendents of the ancient Incan people, who left at the time of the Spanish conquest and have survived for generations in the high mountains of Peru. They have passed down a prophecy of great change for the last 500 years. Their story involves an ancient Inca ruler called Pachacuti who they believed to be an enlightened being that "stepped outside of time". It is believed that he will return in 2012 to turn the world around from a chaotic world to a world of peace.

At this time the world, which has gone into chaos, will be turned right side up again and a new human will be born. They call this new human "homo-luminous." Pachacuti, literally means "Earth Shaker". Most archaeologists now believe that the famous Inca site of Machu Picchu was built as an estate for Pachacuti.

THE MYSTERY OF PUMA PUNKU




Puma Punku complex at Tiahuanaco, Bolivia.

The stone ruins of Puma Punku at Tiahuanaco, Bolivia are one of four structures in the ancient city of Tiahuanaco. The structures are made up of granite and diorite, and the only other stone that is harder than those two is the diamond.

If the people who built this place cut these stones using stone cutting techniques, then they would had to have used diamond tools. All of the stone blocks were cut so they would interlock and fit together like a giant puzzle.

The Puma Punku ruins are a mystery because of their age, which is estimated to be approx. 17,000 years old, and the advanced stone cutting technology. It appears to be the remains of a massive collapsed building and large wharf, as Lake Titicaca once lapped upon the shores of Tiahuanaco.

One of the construction blocks of the wharf weighs an incredible 440 tons, which is equal to almost 600 automobiles. Several other blocks weigh between 100 and 150 tons. The quarry for these giant blocks was on the western shore of Titicaca, which is ten miles away. It is hard to imagine how they could have transported stones of such massive weight and size over that distance, at that time in history. The Andean people of 500 AD, with their simple reed boats, could certainly not have moved them. Even today, with all the modern advances in engineering methods and equipment, it would be almost impossible.



In some of the stones there are one-centimetre wide grooves with equidistant holes drilled into them. The incredibly precise and geometrically intricate design of the blocks, which have been designed to fit together, suggests that advanced machining and cutting was available in those ancient times. The other possibility, which has also been suggested in relation to the blocks at Giza, is the cast stone theory. It might be possible to build a mould with locating pins in it, which would be removed after the hardening of the stone slurry.


On the rock cliffs near the piers and wharfs of the port area of the ruins are yellowwhite calcareous deposits forming long, straight lines indicating pre-historic water levels. These ancient shorelines are strangely tilted, although once they must have been level.

The surrounding area is covered with millions of fossilized sea-shells. It appears, from the tilting of the ancient shoreline striations and the abundant presence of fossilised oceanic flora and fauna, that a tremendous uplift of land has taken place sometime in the ancient past. Although geologists have estimated that this happened roughly 100 million years ago, if you put the two pieces of the puzzle together and look at it from the perspective of Puma Punku being an operational wharf 17,000 years ago, I would suggest that perhaps it might have occurred much sooner, perhaps during the last cataclysmic Earth shift.

THE OLD EQUATOR

Considering the massive upheaval of land as mentioned in Puma Punku, there is a theory that at one stage the Earth was not tilted on it's axis as it is today, and this is supported by an interesting fact. Many of the worlds ancient megalithic sites are all located within a one-kilometre wide band which can be traced around the Earth. The interesting thing about that, is that not only does it suggest that there was once the knowledge and technology to precisely map out and build these structures, but the line that they sit upon is tilted with the Earth at approx 30 degrees, suggesting that they were all once perfectly located on the Old Equator.

CHAUVET-PONT-D'ARC



Another interesting archaeological anomaly is the The Chauvet-Pont-d'Arc cave in the Ardèche region of southern France. Not only does it contain the oldest known cave paintings, strangely it depicts animals not native to that region, such as bison, lions and rhinos.

Based on radiocarbon datings of the drawings and torch marks, they date back to around 26,000 years ago. Therefore, it appears that something happened around this date that changed everything in the world significantly, perhaps even the geography of the land.

EGYPTIAN MYSTERIES


It is well know that the Sphinx has significant water damage dating back to around 12,500 - 13,000 years ago (approximately halfway through the 26000 year cycle, but there are other mysterious objects and unexplained tooling processes visible in Egypt that does not fit within our current sphere of knowledge.

There are some granite rocks with long circular-saw cuts in several places, and others with perfectly circular drill holes. I have seen photos and video of complex curved sections of granite, perfectly aligned without deviation along its length, as though cut by a giant router.

We have been led to believe that all these incredible feats of stone masonry are the work of slaves using simple stone and copper tools. But it just doesn't add up. There must have been at least one, if not more cataclysmic events in our past that all but wiped the evidence of that civilisation off the face of the planet.




IRON PILLAR OF DEHLI




Standing at the center of the Quwwatul Mosque, the Iron Pillar is one of Delhi's most curious structures. Dating back to the 4th century A.D., the pillar bears an inscription which states that it was erected as a flagstaff in honour of the Hindu god, Vishnu, and in the memory of the Gupta King Chandragupta II (375-413).

How the pillar moved to its present location remains a mystery. The pillar is made of 98 per cent wrought iron and has stood for over 1,600 years without rusting or decomposing.

THE SCHIST BOWL



One of the most unusual objects that I discovered recently while watching a documentary on the Cairo Museum, has been classified as a simple bowl. I was stunned by this intrically formed piece, because as soon as I saw it, I knew that it had to have been a part of a very complex machine. This computer model of what is known as the Schist Bowl, could possibly have been part of a system of water pumping or other centrifugal device. It has a central sleeve for locating on a shaft, aerodynamic fins and curved surfaces for efficiency and a protective outer ring for re-inforcement and alignment.



JAPANESE CLOSE ENCOUNTER



In the Iwase Bunko Depository library there is a document titled Hyouryuukishuu which translates as 'Tales of Castaways'. This document records stories from Japanese fishermen who claim to have been lost at sea and finding themselves in strange, new lands. One story however is very different. It takes place near the village of Harashagahama, where something strange washed up onto the shore.

It was a craft measuring 3m tall and 5m wide, apparently made from red sandalwood and metal. It also had openings made of glass or crystal. This created a stir in the village and people rushed down to see the unusual object. which became known as Utsuro Bune ("Hollow ship"). Inside the craft, the people saw strange writing in a language and style unknown to any of them. In the craft sat a young lady. She had a pale face with red eyebrows and hair. The people estimated her age to be around 18 – 20 years old.

She spoke in a unfamiliar language and in her arms she held a timber box about 60cm in length. To the people, it appeared as though the box was very special to the young woman and she did not allow people to touch it. The same craft appeared in several parts of Japan, but the story and drawings of the craft and the description of the woman are always the same.

These are just a few examples that I chose because they are not as well known as some of the places and objects that are quoted so often in mainstream research, such as the Dogu figures, or the Antikythera etc.

I have always been fascinated by these kinds of stories and archaeological anomalies, as they not only challenge what we have been taught in school as accepted history, but also they stand as documented evidence that there were civilisations and people long before us with knowledge and technology that we do not have today.

KENNEDY AND 2012



JFK was very interested in space research, and in fact it was his secret desire to have a joint space program with Russia that might have been part of the reason he was assassinated. I also believe he may have known about the possibilities of what might happen in 2012, based on the 26,000 year precessional cycle. Could this be the reason that he had the number painted on the tail of Air Force One?

THE END

Well, here we are at the end of this book, and also at the end of the Classified Book Series, at least for now. Perhaps one day in the future, I will be able to share more of my research with you, as I have over two terabytes of data that I've collected, in the form of images, reference material, documents, letters, statutes, photographs and other data.

However I must now decide what to do in the time that is left this year, to best prepare for any kind of natural disaster or other event, seeing as how there is so much evidence that ancient cultures held this particular year to be of such significance.

I cannot simply ignore them, as to do so and then suffer the consequences would be ridiculous. If nothing at all happens, then the worst that I have done is to have a stockpile of food and other equipment, and know that I have the means to look after my family should a situation arise. That could be as small as a power blackout due to solar storms, or as large as a severe storm or earthquake.

What bothers me the most is the fact that if 2012 brings the sort of earth changing catastrophes that have occurred in the past, then it might not be possible to prepare for what might happen. It all remains to be seen just what the future has in store for us.

For some time now I have been resisting the requests to transfer this book series into digital format, however it seems that it is necessary to ensure as many people as possible have access to the information at this time. The postage costs for the set, (being nearly 4kgs), means that people in the UK and Ireland have been paying AU\$109, and in the US and Canada it has been AU\$88. That's quite a lot to add on top of the donation request for the books.

Therefore I have agreed to have them adapted to .mobi format for the Kindle readers (which includes PC and MAC) and the .epub for other devices. PDF versions are simply too large and too easily distributable, which is why we have not offered that option.

Thankyou once again for your support and interest, and I hope you found something of value within these pages.

Kind Regards

Thomas Anderson



www.theclassifiedfiles.com

MAIL CERTIFICATION LETTER

MAIL CERTIFICATION To the sender: Your letter has been returned unopened and unread as it is unclear who you are, what your intentions are and who you are attempting to contact. To ensure that your letter is opened and to make sure there is no misrepresentation involved, please complete the following questions and return them together with (but not inside) the original letter, addressed as follows: Secured Party C/O P.O Box whatever Wherever Australia 1. What is your full personal name and the name of the company you represent? 2. What are your contact details: 3. Is the PERSON you are attempting to contact, a legal entity? (please circle) Yes No 4. If not, please state the lawful name of the man or woman you would like to contact. 5. Please state the nature of your enquiry. Please Note: Any misrepresentation made by yourself in relation to answering any of these questions, particularly with regard to confusing a legal entity with a lawful living being, will be taken as mail fraud and will be an actionable offence. Further, your mail will be marked as such and returned unopened. Under penalty of perjury. Signature _____

An example of a letter you could use whenever you get mail that is suspicious or might be a solicitation, or offer of contract with the legal system.

BUMPER STICKERS AND T-SHIRTS

We are currently working on a new range of custom created designs and slogans based on our research that are suitable for gifts or personal use. Please check for updates on our Café Press page, which can be accessed at:


http://www.cafepress.com.au/theclassifiedfiles





WELCOME TO THE CORPORATION





THANKYOU FOR MAKING ALL THIS POSSIBLE!

Best Wishes

Mes

Thomas Anderson

COPYRIGHT 2011 THOMAS ANDERSON THE CLASSIFIED FILES

NO REPRODUCTION OR CIRCULATION PERMITTED